GEOTECHNICAL STUDY 23RD STREET RECONSTRUCTION FROM BROADWAY AVENUE J TO SEAWALL BOULEVARD GALVESTON COUNTY, TEXAS

PROJECT NO. 19-018E



ТО

LJA ENGINEERING, INC. HOUSTON, TEXAS

BY

GEOTECH ENGINEERING AND TESTING

SERVICING

TEXAS, LOUISIANA, NEW MEXICO, OKLAHOMA

www.geotecheng.com

AUGUST 2019

TEXAS BOARD OF PROFESSIONAL ENGINEERS REGISTRATION NUMBER F-001183



GEOTECH ENGINEERING and TESTING



Geotechnical, Environmental, Construction Materials, and Forensic Engineering

LJA Engineering, Inc. 2929 Briarpark Drive, Suite 600 Houston, Texas 77042 Project No. 19-018E Report No. 1 Report Type: 24C August 22, 2019

Attention: Mr. Wallace Trochesset, P.E. Vice President

GEOTECHNICAL STUDY 23RD STREET RECONSTRUCTION FROM BROADWAY AVENUE J TO SEAWALL BOULEVARD GALVESTON COUNTY, TEXAS

Gentlemen:

Submitted here is Geotech Engineering and Testing (GET) geotechnical study of subsurface condition for the above referenced project. The planned paving improvements were discussed in detail with Mr. Wallace Trochesset, P.E. in order to plan a study that would provide the necessary design and construction recommendations. This study was conducted in general accordance with GET Proposal No. P19-008, Revision III, dated April 24, 2019 and authorized by Mr. Wallace Trochesset, P.E. on June 10, 2019.

This report presents the results of our geotechnical field exploration and laboratory testing together with recommendations for the design and construction of the proposed 23rd Street reconstruction project. Our recommendations are in general accordance with the City of Galveston Standard Construction Specifications.

We appreciate the opportunity to be of service. Should you have any questions or need additional assistance, please call.

Very truly yours,

GEOTECH ENGINEERING AND TESTING TBPE Registration Number F-001183

Harry Nguyen, PhD. Project Manager

Shailesh "James" Namekar, PhD., P.E. Chief Engineer

HN/JN/DAE/hn



James Shailesh Nameka 8/22/19

Copies Submitted: (1) Hard Copy - LJA Engineering, Inc. - Mr. Wallace Trochesset, P.E.

(1) PDF Copy Email – Mr. Wallace Trochesset, P.E.

(1) PDF Copy Email – LJA Engineering, Inc. – Mr. Mark Havran, P.E.

TABLE OF CONTENTS

1.0	EXECUTIVE SUMMARY	1
2.0	INTRODUCTION	2
3.0	FIELD EXPLORATION3.1Pavement Coring3.2Drilling and Sampling	3 3 3
4.0	 LABORATORY TESTS	3 4 4
5.0	SITE GEOLOGY	4
6.0	 GENERAL SOILS AND DESIGN CONDITIONS	4 4 5 5
7.0	UNDERGROUND UTILITIES.7.1General.7.2Open Excavation Method7.3Augering and Augering Pits Method.7.4Storm and Sanitary Sewer Lines.7.5Waterlines7.6Groundwater Control.7.6.1General.7.6.2Dewatering Technique.7.7OSHA Soil Classifications.7.8Excavations7.9Lateral Earth Pressures7.10Backfilling.7.10.1Backfilling for Open-Excavation7.10.2Backfilling for Auger Pits and Auger Holes7.11.1General7.11.2Loads Due to Overburden Pressure.7.11.3Piping System Thrust Restraint.	6 6 6 7 7 8 8 8 8 8 8 9
8.0	PAVEMENT SECTIONS	11 11 13

9.0	CONSTRUCTION CONSIDERATIONS			.13
	9.1	Site Pr	eparation	.13
	9.2	Suitab	ility of On-Site Soils for Use as Fill	.14
		9.2.1	General	.14
		9.2.2	Select Structural Fill	.14
		9.2.3	Structural Fill	.15
		9.2.4	General Fill	.15
		9.2.5	On-Site Fill Soil Classification	.15
	9.3	Surfac	e Water Drainage	.15
	9.4	Earthw	/ork	.15
		9.4.1	General	.15
		9.4.2.	Improving Drainage	.16
		9.4.3.	Subgrade Drying	.16
		9.4.4.	Soil Mixing	.16
		9.4.5.	Removal and Replacement	.16
		9.4.6.	Modification/Stabilization	.16
	9.5	Constr	uction Surveillance	.17
10.0	RECC	OMMEN	DED ADDITIONAL STUDIES	.18
11.0	STAN	DARD	OF CARE	.18
10.0	DEDO			10
12.0	REPO	DET DIS	I KIBUTION	.18
13.0	REFE	RENCE		.18

ILLUSTRATIONS

Plate

Site Vicinity Map	1
Proposed Alignment for 23 rd Street Reconstruction	2
Existing Pavement Thickness	3
Lateral Earth Pressure Diagram	4
Thrust Forces Acting on a Bend	5

APPENDICES

 Appendix A – Plan of Borings, Soil Stratigraphy Profile, Logs of Borings, Key to Log Terms and Symbols and Summary of Laboratory Test Results
 Appendix B – Project Site Pictures
 Appendix C – OSHA Soil Classification

1.0 EXECUTIVE SUMMARY

It is planned to reconstruct approximately 4,000-ft linear feet of paving and 4,750-linear feet of drainage improvements with surface restored along 23rd Street area in Galveston County, Texas. Water, storm sewer and sanitary sewer lines will be constructed along 23rd Street from Broadway to Seawall Boulevard. Furthermore, storm sewer lines will be constructed along Avenue K Rear and Avenue N both from 21st Street to 23rd Street. A site vicinity map is presented on Plate 1. We understand that either concrete or asphalt pavement will be constructed along 23rd Street from Broadway to Seawall Boulevard, and Avenue K Rear and Avenue N, both from 21st Street to 23rd Street.

The subsoils and groundwater conditions were evaluated by conducting thirteen (13) soil test borings (B-1 through B-13) along the project alignment to a depth of 16-ft below the existing grade. Results of our field exploration and engineering analyses are summarized below:

1. In general, based on our field exploration and laboratory testing data, the soil stratigraphy along the project alignment generally appears to be relatively uniform. The soil stratigraphy along the project alignment is summarized as follows:

Stratum No.	Range of Depth, ft.	Soil Type
		ASPHALT PAVEMENT (3.0- to 5.5-inch in thickness)
		CONCRETE PAVEMENT (4.0- to 9.0-inch in thickness, except Borings B-10 and B-11)
Ι	0.3 – 2	FILL: SILTY SAND (SM), light brown, light gray, brown, brownish yellow, with root fibers to 2', gravels, shell
II	2-25	SILTY SAND (SM), loose to medium dense, gray to light gray, dark gray, greenish gray, brown to light brown, dark brown, brownish yellow, with root fibers to 16', shell, gravels

- 2. Depth to groundwater will be important for design and construction of the proposed storm sewers. Water level observations were made during and after about 0.5-hour of drilling. Our short-term field exploration indicates that groundwater was encountered at depths ranging between 6- to 12-ft during and after 0.5-hour drilling in Borings B-1 through B-13.
- 3. We understand that open cut excavation construction techniques will be used for the construction of storm sewers and water lines installations. Furthermore, auguring construction techniques will be used for sanitary sewer lines installations. The bedding and backfill recommendations for the construction of the proposed underground utilities are also presented in this report.
- 4. The bedding and backfill for the underground utilities should be conducted in accordance with the City of Galveston Standard Specifications, Section 02227 Excavation and Backfill for Utilities and Section 02252 Cement Stabilized Sand for backfill and bedding, respectively.
- 5. We understand that either concrete pavement or asphalt pavement will be used for this site. Our recommendations for pavement are presented in Section 8.0 of this report.

2.0 INTRODUCTION

It is planned to reconstruct approximately 4,000-ft linear feet of paving improvements and 4,750-linear feet of drainage improvements with surface restored along 23rd Street area in Galveston County, Texas. Water, storm sewer and sanitary sewer lines will be constructed along 23rd Street from Broadway to Seawall Boulevard. Furthermore, storm sewer lines will be constructed along Avenue K Rear and Avenue N both from 21st Street to 23rd Street. A site vicinity map is presented on Plate 1 and A-1 in Appendix A. We understand that either concrete or asphalt pavement will be constructed. The proposed alignments for paving improvements and underground utilities are presented on Plate 2. The specific project information is as follows:

Project	Remarks		
Paving	New concrete or asphalt pavement will be constructed. We understand that the proposed roadway will be considered as an arterial. It will be designed per City of Galveston pavement details.		
Storm Sewer Lines	The storm sewer lines will be up to 60-inches diameters. The invert depth of the storm sewer will be less than 10-ft. We understand the basic construction techniques for the sewer lines will be open cut.		
Water Lines	The water lines will be about 6- to 8-inch in diameter. The water lines will be approximately 5 to 6-feet deep. The basic construction techniques for the sewer lines will be open cut.		
Sanitary Sewer Lines	Sanitary sewer lines will be constructed along the proposed alignment. The sanitary sewer lines will be 6-inch to 30-inch in diameter. The invert depth of the sanitary lines is not available at the time of this study. The basic construction techniques for the sanitary sewer lines will be auguring.		

The scope of our work consisted of conducting a geotechnical study for the project alignment and developing recommendations with respect to design and construction of the pavement and underground utilities. Furthermore, the proposed paving improvement and underground utilities will be constructed in accordance to The City of Galveston Specifications (Ref. 1).

This report briefly describes the field exploration and laboratory testing followed by our engineering analyses and recommendations.

3.0 FIELD EXPLORATION

3.1 Pavement Coring

The existing pavement was cored prior to drilling and sampling. The results of pavement coring show that the existing pavement consists of asphalt pavement in Borings B-10 and B-11 and asphalt pavement overlaid concrete pavement in Boings B-1 through B-9, B-12 and B-13. The existing pavement thicknesses are presented on Plate 3, and respective boring logs, Plates A-4 through A-16 in Appendix A. The pavement core locations were patched with Quickcrete.

3.2 Drilling and Sampling

The soil conditions were explored by conducting thirteen (13) borings along the project alignment. During sampling, locations of the borings were decided based on the discussion with Ms. Melissa DeLaRosa (City of Galveston representative) and presented in Plate A-2, in Appendix A. All pavement corings were conducted prior to drilling and sampling. Soil samples were obtained continuously at each boring location from the ground surface to 16-ft.

Cohesionless soils were generally sampled with a split-spoon sampler driven in general accordance with the Standard Penetration Test (SPT), ASTM D 1586. This test is conducted by recording the number of blows required for a 140-pound weight falling 30-inches to drive the sampler 12-inches into the soil. Driving resistance for the SPT, expressed as blows per foot of sampler resistance (N), is tabulated on the boring logs.

Soil samples were examined and classified in the field. This data, together with a classification of the soils encountered and strata limits, is presented on the soil stratigraphy profile, Plate A-3 in Appendix A. The logs of borings are presented on Plates A-4 through A-16 in Appendix A. A key to log terms and symbols is shown on Plate A-17 in Appendix A.

Depth to groundwater will be important for design and construction of the proposed underground utility lines. For this reason, borings were drilled dry and the depth at which groundwater was first encountered, then wet rotary was used to drill for borings B-1 through B-13. Water level observations made during and 0.5-hr after drilling in the borehole are indicated at the bottom portion of the individual logs. The boreholes were grouted with non-shrink grout using tremie method after the completion of the field work.

4.0 LABORATORY TESTS

4.1 General

Soil classifications and shear strengths were further evaluated by laboratory tests on representative samples of the major strata. The laboratory tests were performed in general accordance with ASTM Standards. Specifically, ASTM D 2487 is used for classification of soils for engineering purposes. Furthermore, summary of test results is presented in Plates A-18 through A-30 in Appendix A.

4.2 Classification Tests

As an aid to visual soil classifications, physical properties of the soils were evaluated by classification tests. The tests were conducted in general accordance with ASTM standards. These tests consisted of natural moisture content tests (ASTM D 4643), percent finer than the No. 200 sieve tests (ASTM D 1140). Similarity of these properties is indicative of uniform strength and compressibility characteristics for soils of essentially the same geological origin. Results of these tests are tabulated on the boring logs at respective sample depths.

4.3 Soil Sample Storage

Soil samples tested or not tested in the laboratory will be stored for a period of fourteen days subsequent to submittal of the final report. The samples will be discarded after this period, unless we are instructed otherwise.

5.0 SITE GEOLOGY

According to the soil survey of Galveston County, Texas (prepared by the U.S. Department of Agriculture Soil and Conservation Service (1976), geologically the project areas at the proposed project alignment lies on the soil of BBBX – Bb – Beaches (BBBX). This is in broad, nearly level to undulating, and nonsaline to extremely saline. It mainly consists of sandy marine deposits and varied amounts of shell fragments. It is reworked by both the tide and wind. It is barren. The lower areas are inundated daily by high tides. The higher areas are inundated regularly by spring tides. A high-water table is at or near the surface throughout the year.

The land area is immediately adjacent to the Gulf of Mexico from the mean tide to the back of the coastal dunes. The surface is plane in front of the dunes and is undulating within the dune area. The slopes range from as low as 0.2 percent in the area in front of the dunes to as high as about 15 percent on the side slopes of a few of the dunes. The mapped areas are long and narrow and range from 10 acres to about 100 acres.

6.0 GENERAL SOILS AND DESIGN CONDITIONS

6.1 Site Conditions

Currently, the project alignment along Avenue K Rear from 21st Street to 23rd Street is asphalt pavement, the rest of the project alignments are asphalt pavement overlaying concrete pavement. In general, the vicinity of the project alignment consists of residential and commercial facilities. Pictures of the project alignment were taken during our site visit. These pictures are presented on cover page and Appendix B.

6.2 Soil Stratigraphy

In general, based on our field exploration and laboratory testing data, the soil stratigraphy along the project alignment generally appears to be relatively uniform. Details of subsoil conditions at each boring location along the project alignment are presented on the respective boring logs. In general, the soils can be grouped into two (2) major strata with depth limits and characteristics as follows:

_	Stratum No.	Range of Depth, ft.	Soil Description
			ASPHALT PAVEMENT OVERLAY (3.0- to 5.5-inch in thickness)
			CONCRETE PAVEMENT (4.0- to 9.0-inch in thickness, except Borings B-10 and B-11)
	Ι	0.3 – 2	FILL: SILTY SAND (SM), light brown, light gray, brown, brownish yellow, with root fibers to 2', gravels, shell
	Π	2 – 16	SILTY SAND (SM), loose to medium dense, gray to light gray, dark gray, greenish gray, brown to light brown, dark brown, brownish yellow, with root fibers to 16', shell, gravels

* Classification in general accordance with the modified Unified Soil Classification System (ASTM D 2487)

6.3 Soil Properties

Soil strength and how they relate to underground utilities and pavement design are summarized as follows:

Stratum No.	Soil Type	#200 Passing	SPT	Soil Expansivity	Remarks
Ι	Fill: Silty Sand (SM)	5 – 11	_	Non-Expansive	Moisture Sensitive
II	Silty Sand (SM)	6-24	7 - 28	Non-Expansive	Moisture Sensitive

Legend: SPT = Standard Penetration Test

6.4 Water-Level Measurements

The soil borings were first drilled dry to evaluate the presence of perched or free-water conditions. A wet rotary boring technique was used thereafter to the completion depth of the boring. The levels where free water was encountered in the open boreholes during and about 0.5-hour after drilling are shown on the boring logs. Our groundwater measurements are summarized below:

Boring Numbers (s)	Groundwater Depth, ft. at the time of Drilling	Groundwater Depth, ft. at 0.5 Hour Later
B-2. B-5 through B-7, and B-10 through B-13	6	6
B-3	7	7
B-1, B-4, and B-8	8	8
B-9	12	12

Fluctuations in groundwater generally occur as a function of seasonal moisture variation, temperature, groundwater withdrawal and future construction activities that may alter the surface drainage and subdrainage characteristics of this site.

An accurate evaluation of the hydrostatic water table in the relatively impermeable clays and low permeable silts/sands requires long term observation of monitoring wells and/or piezometers. It is not possible to accurately predict the pressure and/or level of groundwater that might occur based upon short-term site exploration.

We recommend that GET be immediately notified if a noticeable change in groundwater occurs from that mentioned in our report. We would be pleased to evaluate the effect of any groundwater changes on our design and construction sections of this report.

7.0 UNDERGROUND UTILITIES

7.1 General

We understand that underground utilities installation along the alignment will include storm sewers, sanitary sewers and waterlines. The storm sewer lines will be up to 60-inches diameters. The invert depth of the storm sewer will be less than 10-ft. The water lines will be about 6- to 8-inch in diameter. The water lines will be approximately 5 to 6-feet deep. We understand the basic construction techniques for the storm sewer lines and water lines will be open cut. The sanitary sewer lines will be 6-inch to 30-inch in diameter. The invert depth of the sanitary lines is not available at the time of this study. We understand the basic construction techniques for the source, the proposed underground utilities will be constructed in accordance to The City of Galveston Specifications (Ref. 1).

7.2 **Open Excavation Method**

For open-trench construction, bedding and backfill for the proposed storm sewer lines and water lines should be constructed in accordance with the City of Galveston Specifications. Trenches for the proposed underground utilities must have a width below the top of the pipe of not less than the outside diameter of the pipe plus 24-inch and shall be wide enough to permit making up the joints but shall not be wider than the outside diameter of the pipe plus 36-inch.

In general, twelve-inch of bank sand should be placed above the utility lines. Twelve-inch lifts of bank sand should be placed below the utility lines for dry excavation bottom. In case of wet excavation bottom, geotextile fabrics should be placed at the excavation bottom and along the excavation sides to a height of at least 24-inch.

7.3 Augering and Augering Pits Method

We understand that augering method may be used for sanitary sewer lines installation. The augering should be conducted in accordance with the City of Galveston Standard Specifications, Section 027698 – Pipe Bursting/Crushing Sanitary Sewers. Augering should be started from approved pit locations. Excavation for pits and shoring installation should conform to the City of Galveston Standard Specifications, Section 02227 – Excavation and Backfill for Utilities. If the augering zone is within the cohesionless soils or caving soils, install casing as required by the City of Galveston Standard Specifications, Section 02315 – Pipe and Casing Augering for Sewers. The augering near existing structures or utility lines should be conducted in accordance with the City of Galveston Standard Specification. Diameter of auger hole should not exceed pipe bell diameter plus 2 inches. The receiving pit distance should conform to the City of Galveston Standard Specifications.

7.4 Storm and Sanitary Sewer Lines

Bedding and backfill for the storm and sanitary sewer lines should be in accordance with the City of Galveston Specifications, Section 02720 – Storm Sewers , Section 02730 – Gravity Sanitary Sewers and Section 02731 – Sanitary Sewer Force Main.

The results of our field exploration and laboratory testing indicate that unsatisfactory soils for excavation, such as silty sand (SM) soils exist in the borings. A summary of the unsatisfactory soils locations and depths are as follows:

Boring(s)	Depth, ft.
B-1 through B-13	0.3 to 16

If these conditions are encountered during the time of construction, suitable groundwater control measures should be implemented in accordance with the City of Galveston Specifications, Section 01563 – Control of Groundwater and Surface Water. Furthermore, the contractor may have to over excavate an additional 6 inches and remove unstable or unsuitable materials with approval by geotechnical engineer, and then place an equal depth of cement stabilized sand.

Due to potential variability of the on-site soils, unstable trench conditions may still exist in the areas where we did not conduct borings. If these conditions are encountered during the time of construction, a stable trench should be provided to allow proper bedding and installation.

Sand backfill used in the cement stabilized sand and sand backfill sections should be free of clay lumps, organic materials, or other deleterious substances, and should have a PI less than 4 for the cement-stabilized sand and less than 7 for the sand backfill section, and not more than 15% passing the No. 200 sieve. Cement stabilized sand should conform to the City of Galveston Specifications, Section 02252 – Cement Stabilized Sand.

Due to the presence of silty sands, relatively shallow groundwater table and corresponding hydrostatic pressure, the pipe should be restrained against movements by strapping over the pipe. The straps should be flat and strong enough to withstand the upward flow.

7.5 Waterlines

For open-trench construction, bedding and backfill for the proposed waterlines should be constructed in accordance with the City of Galveston Specifications, Section 02227 – Excavation and backfill for utilities. Trenches for the proposed waterlines must have a width below the top of the pipe of not less than the outside diameter of the pipe plus 24-inch and shall be wide enough to permit making up the joints but shall not be wider than the outside diameter of the pipe plus 36-inch.

In general, twelve-inches of bank sand should be placed above the waterlines. Twelve-inch lifts of bank sand should be placed below the waterlines for dry excavation bottom. In case of wet excavation bottom, geotextile fabrics should be placed at the excavation bottom and along the excavation sides to a height of at least 24-inch.

Due to the presence of silty sands, relatively shallow groundwater table and corresponding hydrostatic pressure, the pipe should be restrained against movements by strapping to helical piles over the pipe. The straps should be flat and strong enough to withstand the upward flow. Top straps should be connected to helical piles to resist uplift.

7.6 Groundwater Control

7.6.1 General

Our short-term field exploration indicates that groundwater was encountered at the time of drilling. Therefore, groundwater dewatering will be required. Fluctuations in groundwater can occur as a function of seasonal moisture variations. Groundwater control recommendations are presented in the following report sections.

7.6.2 Dewatering Technique

It is our opinion that groundwater should be lowered to a depth of at least three-ft below the deepest excavation grade in order to provide dry working conditions and firm bedding. Any minor water inflow in cohesive soil layers can probably be removed using a sump-pump or trench sump-pump. Wellpoint system can be used in the area where silty sand soils are present. The selection and proper implementation of an effective groundwater control system is the responsibility of the contractor. **Due to the presence of silty sand soils and the hydrostatic pressures, blow up may occur if an effective dewatering system is not in place at the time of construction.**

Design of a wellpoint system should consider the amount of groundwater to be lowered and the permeability of the affected soils. The selection and proper implementation of an effective groundwater control system is the responsibility of the contractor. The design of groundwater and surface water should be in accordance with The City of Galveston Specifications, Section 01563 – Control of Groundwater and Surface Water.

7.7 OSHA Soil Classifications

The subsoils can be classified in accordance with Occupational Safety and Health Administration (OSHA) Standards, dated October 31, 1989 of the Federal Register. OSHA classification system categorizes the soil and rock in four types based on shear strength and stability. The description of four (4) types in classification system is summarized in the Appendix C

Based on our geotechnical exploration and laboratory test results, details of soil classifications at each boring are summarized in the OSHA Soil Classification and Trench Safety Recommendations, presented in Appendix C.

7.8 Excavations

An excavation or trench which is five-ft or deeper must be protected by sheeting/bracing shoring or sloped. Based on soil strength data and OSHA soil classifications, temporary (less than 24 hours) open-trenched, non-surcharged, and unsupported excavations should be made on slopes of about 1.5(h):1(v). Vertical cuts can be constructed, provided shoring and bracing are used for the excavation wall stability. Benched excavation can also be used with average slopes of about 1(h):1(v) and steps should not be higher than five-ft. In all cases, excavations should conform to OSHA guidelines. Flatter slopes may have to be used if large amounts of sand need to be excavated for deep installations. Specifications should require that no water be allowed to pond in the excavations. The surface slopes should be protected from deterioration and weathering if they are to be left open for more than 24 hours.

Excavations should be performed with equipment capable of providing a relatively clean bearing area. Excavation equipment should not disturb the soil beneath the design excavation bottom and should not leave large amounts of loose soil in the excavation.

7.9 Lateral Earth Pressures

In the event that open excavations are not used, the proposed underground utilities can be installed using trench sheeting. The sheeting can be constructed in the form of cantilever sheeting or with bracing. Lateral earth pressures for each method used are summarized on Plate 4. The trenching and shoring operations should follow OSHA Standards. We recommend a geotechnical engineer monitor all phases of trench excavation and bracing to assure trench safety. Furthermore, a letter for trench safety recommendation is provided separately.

7.10 Backfilling

7.10.1 Backfilling for Open-Excavation

Sand backfill used in the cement-stabilized sand and sand backfill section should be free of clay lumps, organic materials, or other deleterious material, and should have a PI less than 4 for cement-stabilized sand and less than 7 for the sand backfill, and not more than 15% passing the No. 200 sieve. Cement stabilized sand should conform to The City of Galveston Specifications, Section 02252 – Cement Stabilized Sand.

Random fill for zones above pipe bedding should be placed in loose lifts not exceeding eightinch and compacted to 100% of the natural soil density. This value will be on the order of 95% of standard density (ASTM D 698) at a moisture content between optimum and +3% of optimum. These values should be verified by testing during construction.

7.10.2 Backfilling for Auger Pits and Auger Holes

Sand used in backfill sections should be free of clay lumps, organic materials, or other deleterious substances, and should have a PI less than 7, and not more than 15% passing the No. 200 sieve.

Backfill should be placed in accordance with The City of Galveston Specifications, Section 02227 – Excavation and Backfill for Utilities.

7.11 Loads Imposed on Buried Pipes

7.11.1 General

The loads on an underground pipe depend principally upon the weight of overburden soils, roadway and loads due to surcharges. For design of concrete pressure pipe, linear load due to overburden can be determined based on the design tables and charts presented in the "AWWA Manual of Water Supply Practices Concrete Pressure Pipe (AWWA M9)" developed by the American Concrete Pipe Association. Overburden pressure for the buried pipes at the project alignments are estimated by using the soil density (γ) and the height of the soil over the pipe (H).

7.11.2 Loads Due to Overburden Pressure

Overburden or prism load for buried pipes is given by the following equation:

 $P = \gamma H$

Where: P = Load due to weight of soils at depth, psf $\gamma = Total$ Unit weight of soil, 125 pcf H = Height of the soil over the pipe, ft

Loadings per linear foot of pipe:

 $W_e = C_d \gamma (B_d)^2$ (Marston Equation)

$$C_d = \frac{1 - e^{-2ku\left(\frac{H}{B_d}\right)}}{2ku'}$$

Where: $W_e = Load$, pounds per linear foot (lb/ft) $B_d = Trench$ width (ft) $C_d = Load$ Coefficient k = Friction angle between backfill and soil

u' = Coefficient of friction between fill material and sides of trench

ku' = for sand = 0.165

7.11.3 Piping System Thrust Restraint

Fittings on underground pipes are subject to thrust forces inherited from the fluid pressure in the pipe and are directly proportional to the fluid pressure. Unbalance thrust forces will be developed in pressure pipelines due to changes in direction, cross-sectional areas, or when the pipe is terminated. These forces may cause joints to disengage if not adequately restrained. There will be a slight loss of head due to turbulence friction in bends of the pipes. This loss will cause a pressure change across the bend, but it is usually small enough to be neglected.

The thrust force may require more reaction than is available just from the pipe bearing against the backfill. In order to prevent intolerable movement and overstressing of the pipe, suitable buttressing should be provided. In general, thrust blocks, restrained joints and tie rods are common methods of providing reaction for the thrust restraint design. The thrust restraint design provisions described in this section are based on the American Water Works Association Manual M9 (1996)-Concrete Pressure Pipe.

The force diagram shown on Plate 5 illustrates the thrust force generated by flow at a bend in the pipe. The equations for computing this thrust force are also given on this plate. The values of thrust force for a surge pressure of 50 psi were computed for a bend angle of 90 degrees. Once the size of the thrust is determined, a thrust block size can be calculated based on the bearing capacity of the soil. The area of block required is equal to the thrust force (lb) divided by the safe bearing value of the soil (psf). In cohesive soils, the safe bearing value is equal to 2/3 of the average shear strength of the soil adjacent to the block which includes a factor of safety of 3. We believe that a factor of safety of 3 is appropriate in order to limit deflections required to mobilize the passive resistance within tolerable values.

For granular soil encountered at this site, a safe bearing value for thrust blocks can be taken as 90% of the effective overburden pressure at the mid height of the thrust block which includes a factor of safety of 3. The effective overburden pressure can be calculated based on the effective unit weight of the soil above the mid-height of the thrust block.

Geotechnical design parameters for designing the necessary buttressing are as follows:

 γ : = Wet unit weight of soil – above water level : 125 pcf

Submerged unit weight of soil – below water level : 60 pcf

- c: = Cohesion = 1000 psf (for clay)
- ϕ : = Angle of internal friction = 30 degrees (for sand)

8.0 PAVEMENT SECTIONS

8.1 General

It is planned to reconstruct approximately 4,000-ft linear feet of paving improvements and 4,750linear feet of drainage improvements with surface restored along 23rd Street area in Galveston County, Texas. We understand that either concrete or asphalt pavement will be constructed. The proposed concrete or asphalt roadway will be considered as a arterial.

The laboratory data indicates that the upper subsoils are classified as silty sand (SM) soils by the Unified Soil Classification System. These soils have subgrade moduli, k, ranging from 30 to 140 pci and CBR values ranging from 3 to 15.

Based on the subgrade soil properties, the recommended pavement thickness for rigid and flexible paving is given on Table I on the following page of this report. Our recommendations were developed on the City of Galveston Design Specifications, dated March 03, 1997 (Ref. 1).

Detailed traffic analysis was not conducted to evaluate the pavement sections in this report. We recommend that additional studies be conducted to evaluate the proposed pavement traffic loading. This information can be used to evaluate the required pavement sections. Adequate site drainage is essential to pavement performance in accordance with design criteria.

It should be noted that our recommendations on subgrade stabilization assume that final paving grade will be at the top of existing subgrade. Alternative subgrade stabilization recommendations will be required if the final subgrade is different from the one assumed in this report. Actual type and quantity of subgrade stabilization should be determined at the time of construction when the pavement subgrade has been exposed.

	TABLE I				
Rigid Pavement (Protected Corner) Design Thickness for Arterial, in					
Surface:	Concrete Pavement	7			
Subgrade: Lime-Fly Ash Stabilized Subgrade (See Notes 1 and 2, City of Galveston Design Specification Section 02242) Compact to 95% of Maximum Standard Proctor Density (ASTM D 698) at a moisture content within ±2% of optimum		6			
Concrete fi strength of each. Sugg joint spacir	Concrete flexural strength should be at least 570 psi at 28 days. This corresponds to a compressive strength of 3000 psi at 28 days. The paving should be reinforced with #4 bars at 18-inches on centers each. Suggested longitudinal and transverse joint spacing for concrete paving is 15-feet. The expansion joint spacing is approximately 80-feet. Steel used for reinforcements should be grade 60.				
<u>Flexible Pa</u>	<u>vement</u>	Design Thickness for Arterial, in			
Surface:	Hot-Mix Asphaltic Concrete (City of Galveston Design Specification Section 02510)	3			
Base Course	 Crushed Stone (City of Galveston Design Specification Section 02231) and compacted to 95% of of Maximum Standard Proctor Density (ASTM D 698) at a moisture content between optimum and +3% of optimum. 	10			
Subgrade:	Lime-Fly Ash Stabilized Subgrade (See Notes 1 and 2, City of Galveston Design Specification Section 02242) Compact to 95% of Maximum Standard Proctor Density (ASTM D 698) at a moisture content within ±2% of optimum	8			
NOTES:					
1. Refe	1. Reference City of Galveston Design Specifications.				
2. Use appr thick	2. Use 2% lime and 8% fly-ash by dry weight to stabilize the upper soils. The application rates will be approximately 12 pounds of lime and 48 pounds of fly-ash per square yard for eight inches of compacted thickness.				
Project No.	19-018E	12			

8.2 Subgrade Stabilization

The type of subgrade stabilization for the concrete or asphalt pavement areas will depend on the final grade elevation. Subgrade preparation in pavement areas should specify compaction of the upper eight-inches to at least 95% of maximum standard Proctor density (ASTM D 698) at a moisture content with $\pm 2\%$ of optimum. Our field and laboratory tests indicate that the surficial soils consist of silty sand fill soils. Depending on the type of soils encountered, lime-fly ash stabilization of the surficial soils should most likely be performed. The upper eight-inches of the soils should be lime-fly ash stabilized, using 2% lime and 8% fly-ash by dry weight. The application rates corresponding to these additive amounts would be 12 pounds of lime and 48 pounds of fly-ash per square yard, for eight-inches of compacted thickness. City of Galveston Specification Section 02242 can be used as a procedural guide for placing, mixing and compacting lime-fly ash stabilizer and soils.

In the event lime-fly ash is not available, cement can be used to stabilize the subgrade soils. Subgrade preparation using cement stabilization in pavement areas should specify compaction of the upper eight-inches to at least 95% of maximum standard Proctor density (ASTM D 698) at a moisture content between $\pm 2\%$ of the optimum value. The ratio of cement to soil will be based on dry material weight and shall be established by the Geotechnical Engineer in the field to provide the desired stability. The ratio of cement to soil should be generally about 8% percent by dry weight. The application rate corresponding to this additive would be 45 pounds per square yard of six-inches of applications. City of Galveston Specification, Section 02252, can be used as a procedural guide for placing, mixing and compacting cement stabilized soils.

9.0 CONSTRUCTION CONSIDERATIONS

9.1 Site Preparation

The project site has the potential for construction problems related to the surficial layer of silty sand (SM) fill soils. The surficial silty sand (SM) fill soils could become extremely soft when wet, and must be stabilized, aerated, or replaced. In the event that the surficial silty sand (SM) fill soils become wet, they will experience rutting and pumping. Therefore, these soils should be improved. The depth of the improvement is generally to the bottom of the surficial granular layer. Our recommendations on subgrade improvements are presented in the earthwork section of this report. Site preparation for the proposed development should be conducted in accordance with The City of Galveston Specifications, Section 02105 – Site Preparations. In general, our recommendations for site preparations in the floor slab and pavement areas are summarized below:

1. In general, remove all vegetation, tree roots, organic topsoil, existing foundations, paved areas and any undesirable materials from the construction area. Tree trunks and tree roots under the floor slabs should be removed to a root size of less than 0.5-inch. We recommend that the stripping depth be evaluated at the time of construction by a soil technician.

- 2. Any on-site fill soils, encountered in the structure and pavement areas during construction, must have records of successful compaction tests signed by a licensed professional engineer that confirms the use of the fill and record of construction and earthwork testing. These tests must have been performed on all the lifts for the entire thickness of the fill. In the event that no compaction test results are available, the fill soils must be removed, processed and recompacted in accordance with our site preparation recommendations. Excavation should extend at least two-feet beyond the structure and pavement area. Alternatively, the existing fill soils should be tested comprehensively to evaluate the degree of compaction in the fill soils.
- 3. The subgrade areas should then be proofrolled with a loaded dump truck or similar pneumatic-tired equipment with loads not be less than 25-tons. The proofrolling serves to compact surficial soils and to detect any soft or loose zones. The proofrolling should be conducted in accordance with The City of Galveston Specifications. Any soils deflecting excessively under moving loads should be undercut to firm soils and recompacted. Any subgrade stabilization should be conducted after site proofrolling is completed and approved by the geotechnical engineer. The proofrolling operations should be observed by an experienced geotechnician.
- 4. The backfill soils in the trench/underground utility areas and tree root excavation areas should consist of selected structural fill, compacted per City of Galveston Specifications. In the event of compaction difficulties, the trenches should be backfilled with cement-stabilized sand or other materials approved by the geotechnical engineer. Due to high permeability of sands and potential surface water intrusion, bank sands should not be used as backfill material in the trench/underground utility areas and tree root excavation areas.
- 5. In cut areas, the soils should be excavated to grade and the surface soils prooffolled and scarified to a minimum depth of six-inch and recompacted to the previously mentioned density and moisture content.
- 6. Positive site drainage should be developed at the beginning of the project to limit construction difficulties with wet surface soils.

9.2 Suitability of On-Site Soils for Use as Fill

9.2.1 General

Fill requirements should be in accordance with The City of Galveston Specifications Section 02226 –Excavation and Backfill for Structures, Section 02227 – Excavation and Backfill for Utilities and Section 02229 – Utility Backfill Materials. The on-site soils can be used as fill materials as described in the following report sections.

9.2.2 Select Structural Fill

This is the type of fill that can be used for the underground utilities. These soils should consist of lean clays, free of root organics, with plasticity indices between 7 and 20 and amount of passing No. 200 sieve greater than 50 percent.

9.2.3 Structural Fill

This type does not meet the Atterberg limit requirements for select structural fill. This fill should consist of lean clays or fat clays. They can be used for the underground utilities backfill after treatment.

9.2.4 General Fill

This type of fill consists of sands and silts. These soils are moisture sensitive and are difficult to compact in a wet condition (they may pump). These soils can be used as structural fill with the understating that they can erode easily and if they get wet, they are difficult to compact (they may pump). These soils can result in a perched water table. The owner and the civil engineer must be aware of these potential issues.

9.2.5 On-Site Fill Soil Classification

Based on Borings B-1 and B-2, the on-site soils can be used as fill materials as described below:

	Use as Fill				
Stratum		Select	Structural	General	
No. ⁽¹⁾	Soil Type	Structural Fill	Fill	Fill	Notes
Ι	Fill: Silty Sand (SM)	_	\checkmark	\checkmark	2, 3
II	Silty Sand (SM)	_	\checkmark	\checkmark	2, 3

Notes:

- 1. See soil stratigraphy and design conditions sections of this report for strata description.
- 2. All fill soils should be free of organics, roots, etc.
- 3. The on-site cohesionless soils are moisture sensitive and erode easily. These soils will pump when they get wet. Compaction difficulties will occur in these soils in a wet condition.

9.3 Surface Water Drainage

In order to minimize pounding of surface water, site drainage should be established early in project construction so that this condition will be controlled.

9.4 Earthwork

9.4.1 General

Difficult access and workability problems will most likely occur in the surficial silty sand fill soils due to poor site drainage, wet season, or site geohydrology. Considering the soils stratigraphy, the construction of this project should be conducted during the dry season to avoid major earthwork problems. The subgrade soils should be improved if they become wet and experience pumping problems. This condition can be improved by (a) opening up to dry up, (b) mixing cohesionless soils with cohesive soils, (c) improving drainage, (d) removing and replacing with dry cohesive soils or (e) chemically modifying or stabilizing the soils. These alternatives are discussed in the following report sections.

9.4.2. Improving Drainage

The project site drainage in the pumping soils can be accomplished by placing several shallow bleeder ditches (about 18-inches \pm) in the surficial cohesionless soils. These bleeder ditches should be directed to a low area, such as a hole (detention pond) or another ditch in the lowest elevation area of the site. This will allow the surficial soils to drain the water and make the drying process faster. The hole/low area should not be under the building areas. The excess water can be pumped out of the hole and moved off-site.

9.4.3. Subgrade Drying

The on-site wet soils can be opened up so that it would dry up. However, opening up the surficial cohesionless soils for drying purposes may not be practical, due to cyclic rainfall in the Gulf-Coast area.

9.4.4. Soil Mixing

The on-site cohesionless (sands Strata I and II) soils can be mixed with cohesive soils to reduce subgrade pumping. The soils can come from imported in. GET can do a mix design to come up with soil mix percentages, if this option is considered.

9.4.5. Removal and Replacement

The surficial cohesionless soils can be removed and replaced with select structural fill. The actual depth of removal and replacement should be evaluated in the field, but it can be whole thickness of surficial cohesionless soils. This procedure will include removal of the surficial cohesionless soils, proofrolling and compacting the subgrade cohesive soils to a minimum of 95 percent standard Proctor density (ASTM D 698). The site can then be backfilled with select structural fill, compacted to a minimum of 95 percent of standard Proctor density. The proofrolling should be in accordance with the site preparation section of this report. All of the fill soils should be placed and tested in accordance with the site preparation section of this report.

9.4.6. Modification/Stabilization

We recommend that the on-site cohesionless soils be modified (to dry up), using 5 to 10 percent fly ash by dry weight. The fly ash stabilization should be in accordance to City of Galveston Standard Specification, 02242 – Lime/Fly Ash Stabilized Subgrade. The estimated amounts of fly ash per depth of modification are as follows:

	Fly Ash W	eight Range,
Modification	lbs. per S	quare Yard
Depth, in.	5%	10%
6	23	45
12	46	90
18	69	135
24	92	180

We recommend that five percent fly ash be used if the surficial soils are relatively moist at the time of application. Higher levels (10 percent) of fly ash should be used if wet and soggy subgrade soils are encountered.

The subgrade soils should be removed to a depth of 24-inch (or more) below existing grade. These soils should be stockpiled. The soils below a depth of 24-inch should be modified to a depth of 12-inch. These soils should be compacted to a minimum of 95 percent of standard Proctor density (ASTM D 698). The stockpiled soils should then be modified and replaced in six-inch lifts and compacted to 95 percent of maximum dry density as determined by ASTM D 698 at moisture contents within ± 2 percent of optimum.

Due to poor drainage and the depth of the cohesionless soils, the depth of stabilization may be as deep as depth of cohesionless soils. A test section can be implemented for this purpose. The subgrade soils should be modified in six-inch lifts and compacted within four hours of mixing and placement. All of the subgrade soils should be compacted to a minimum of 95 percent of the standard Proctor density at the moisture content with optimum. The degree of compaction for the lifts, below a depth of 24-inch can be relaxed to 90 percent of maximum dry density to ease the construction procedures.

The subcontractor who will be doing the subgrade modification or stabilization should be experienced with stabilization procedures and methods. Furthermore, all of the earthwork at this project should be monitored by our geotechnician to assure compliance with the project specifications.

Once the subgrade is constructed, the soils at the top of subgrade should be slicked and the subgrade needs to be crowned such that the all surface water would drain away. No low areas should be left within the subgrade areas, since these areas would hold water and destroy the subgrade structure.

9.5 Construction Surveillance

Construction surveillance and quality control tests should be planned to verify materials and placement in accordance with the specifications. The recommendations presented in this report were based on a discrete number of soil test borings. Soil type and properties may vary across the site. As a part of quality control, if this condition is noted during the construction, we can then evaluate and revise the design and construction to minimize construction delays and cost overruns. We recommend the following quality control procedures be followed by a qualified engineer or technician during the construction of the facilities:

- Monitor all phases of trench safety (if trench is used).
- Observe the site stripping and proofrolling.
- Verify the type, depth and amount of stabilizer.
- Verify the compaction of subgrade soils and backfill soils.
- Evaluate the quality of fill and monitor the fill compaction for all lifts.
- Monitor and test the excavations for strength, cleanness, depth, size, etc.
- Observe all excavation operations.

10.0 RECOMMENDED ADDITIONAL STUDIES

This report has been based on assumed conditions/characteristics of the proposed development where specific information was not available. It is recommended that civil engineer along with any other design professionals involved in this project carefully review these assumptions to ensure they are consistent with the actual planned development. When discrepancies exist, they should be brought to our attention to ensure they do not affect the conclusions and recommendations provided herein. We recommend that GET be retained to review the plans and specifications to ensure that the geotechnical related conclusions and recommendations provided herein have been correctly interpreted as intended.

11.0 STANDARD OF CARE

The recommendations described herein were conducted in a manner consistent with the level of care and skill ordinarily exercised by members of the geotechnical engineering profession practicing contemporaneously under similar conditions in the locality of the project. No other warranty or guarantee, expressed or implied, is made other than the work was performed in a proper and workmanlike manner.

12.0 REPORT DISTRIBUTION

This report was prepared for the sole and exclusive use by our client and owner, based on specific and limited objectives. All reports, boring logs, field data, laboratory test results, maps and other documents prepared by GET as instruments of service shall remain the property of GET. GET assumes no responsibility or obligation for the unauthorized use of this report by other parties and for purposes beyond the stated project objectives and work limitations.

13.0 REFERENCE

1. "City of Galveston Specifications", City of Galveston.



PROPOSED ALIGNMENTS AND BORING NUMBERS FOR 23RD STREET RECONSTRUCTION FROM BROADWAY AVENUE J TO SEAWALL BOULEVARD GALVESTON COUNTY, TEXAS

Alignment	Boring No.	From	То
23 rd Street	B-1 through B-9	Broadway Avenue J	Seawall Boulevard
Avenue K Rear	B-10 and B-11	23 rd Street	21 st Street
Avenue N	B-12 and B-13	23 rd Street	21 st Street

	Pavement T	hickness, inches
Boring Locations	Asphalt	Concrete
B-1	6	4
B-2	3	4
В-3	3	4
B-4	3	4
B-5	3	4
В-б	4	6
B-7	5.5	9
B-8	5	4
B-9	5.5	4
B-10	4	_
B-11	4	_
B-12	3	5.5
B-13	3	4.5

EXISTING PAVEMENT THICKNESS

LATERAL EARTH PRESSURE DIAGRAM





Where:

T = Resultant Thrust Force on the Bend $T_x = \text{Component of Thrust Force in X-Direction}$ $T_y = \text{Component of Thrust Force in Y-Direction}$ P = Maximum Sustained Pressure A = Pipe Cross Sectional Area $\theta = \text{Bend Deflection Angle}$ V = Fluid Velocity $\Delta = \text{Angle between T and X-axis}$

D = Inside Diameter of the Pipe

Sample Calculation:

Given P = 50 psi, D = 12-inch A = $\pi d^2/4 = 113.1 \text{ in}^2$

For $\theta = 90^{\circ}$ T = 2 PA sin $\theta/2 = 2 * 50 * 113.1 * sin (90/2) = 7997.4$ lb = 7.99 kips Tx = PA (1 - cos θ) = 50 * 113.1 * (1 - cos 90°) = 5.66 kips Ty = PA sin $\theta = 50 * 113.1 * sin 90^{\circ} = 5.66$ kips

APPENDIX A

Plate

Site Vicinity Map	A-1
Plan of Borings	A-2
Soil Stratigraphy Profile	A-3
Logs of Borings	A-4 – A-16
Key to Log Terms and Symbols	A-17
Summary of Laboratory Test Results	A-18 – A-30



GEOTECH ENGINEERING AND TESTING

Galvestor Rear Rear Rear Rear Rear		B-1 (Poject Alignment) (Poject Alignment) (Poject Alignment) (Poject Alignment) (Poject Alignment) (Poject	
PLAN OF BORINGS (bo	ring dimensions and locations are appro	oximate)	NORTH
PROJECT: Geotechnical Stud Galveston County	Jy 23 rd Street Reconstruction from Broad r, Texas I	way Avenue J to Seawall Boulevard	
SCALE: NOT TO SCALE	DATE: AUGUST 2019	PROJECT NO.: 19-018E	



SCOTT 19-018E GPJ FANWNL01 GDT 8/6/19

					LOG OF BO	RIN	IG	NO). E	3 - 1							Sheet	1 o	f 1
			1	Geo 174	otech Engineering and Testing 07 US Highway 59	PRO	JECT ATIOI	: G/S I N: Gal	or 23r vestor	nd Strei n Coun	et Rec ty, TX	constru	iction I	From E	Broadway	/ to Sea	wall Bou	ilevard	
	DTE	CH	, I	Hou	iston, Texas 77396	PRO	JECT	NO.:	19-01	8E	STAT	ION N	0.						
RT	1571140	3	V F	Pho	ne: 713-699-4000 Fax: 713-699-9200	DATE	E: 6-1	5-19			COMF		N DE	PTH: 1	6.0 ft.				
						E E E E E E E E E E E E E E E E E E E	.0	%	%	UZ Z		_=	TION	(P/F)	UNDR	AINED S	tsf		τн,
DEPTH, ft	SPT N-VALUE blows per foot	OVM, ppm	SYMBOL	SAMPLES	DESCRIPTION ELEVATION: Existing Grade	NATURAL MOISTU CONTENT, %	רומחום רושוב' %	PLASTIC LIMIT	PLASTICITY INDEX	PERCENT PASSII NO 200 SIEVE	SUCTION (pF)	DRY UNIT WEIGH	PERCENT COMPAC	PASSING/FAILING		ID PENE RVANE CONFINE CONSOL AXIAL 1.0	D COMF	rer Pressig Undra 2.0 2.	ON IINEI 5
0-	10				ASPHALT PAVEMENT (6" in thickness) CONCRETE PAVEMENT (4" in thickness) FILL: SILTY SAND (SM), light gray, light brown, with root fibers to 2' SILTY SAND (SM), loose, light brown, gray, with root fibers to 4' - medium dense, brown 4' to 16'	11				8									
-	14				- with gravels 6' to 8'	22 17 26				13									
-	17					24													
- 15-	14			Ň		28									-				
20-																			
7	↓ ₩A٦ ☑ : ↓	ER VAT	OB ER I	ISE ENC	L RVATIONS: COUNTERED AT 8.0 ft. DURING DRILLING PTH AT 8.0 ft. AFTER 0.5-HOUR	1	E V	I DRY A VET I	I AUGI ROT,	ER: ARY:	0	T	0 <u>8</u> 0 <u>1</u>	 6 ft.	D D	RILLED	BY GE BY Vic	I T (T) tor	

í,

					LOG OF BO	ORIN	١G	NC). E	3 - 2							Sł	neet	1 of
		in.	G	ieo	tech Engineering and Testing	PRO	JECT	: G/S	for 23r	d Stree	et Rec	onstru	iction	From E	Broadv	vay to :	Seawa	II Boule	evard
GE		СН	1 ⁻ н	74	07 US Highway 59 stop, Tayas 77396	LOC		N: Gal	vestor	Coun	ly, TX								
	INEER	NGY	P	ho	ne: 713-699-4000 Fax: 713-699-9200	DAT	UEC1	NO.::	19-01	8E		ION N	IO.: DN DF	PTH ·	1608				
				Π								her her til to	Z	<u> </u>	UN	DRAINE	ED SHE	AR STI	RENGTH,
	병형			S		TURE %	Ľ. %	% 11	DEX, %	SSING		GHT,	ACTI	4G (P/	A F	IAND P	ENETR	ROMETE	ER
PTH, fi	VAL(И, ррт	YMBO	MPLE	DESCRIPTION	MOIS TENT.		IC LIM	NI YT	NT PA	N (pF)	T WEI	COM	/FAILI	ד 📕	ORVAN	١E		
D	SPT A	1 NO	ο Ο	SA		TURAI CON	LIQUI	LAST	ASTICI	ERCEI NO 2	UCTIO	SY UNI	RCENT	SSING			FINED	COMPF	RESSION
					ELEVATION: Existing Grade	NA			PL	٩.	ŝ	DP	PEF	ΡA		RIAXIA 5 1	L 0 1	5 2,0	2,5
0-			2.5.5	Π	ASPHALT PAVEMENT (3" in														
-			*		CONCRETE PAVEMENT (4" in														
			×		FILL: SILTY SAND (SM), light gray,	8													
					SILTY SAND (SM), loose, brown, light														
-	7			M	gray	19				12									
				μ	- medium dense 4' to 6', grav 4' to 16'														
~				М															
5-	11			X		10													
				4															
2				M															
	5			Ň		26													
×				Ĥ															
-	_		10.00	M		0.0													
				M		20													
10-					- medium dense 10' to 12'														
-	14			M		21													
				Δ															
				H															
ž	7			X		25	5			6									
-				4															
4.5				M															
10-	6			X		27	r												
				/ \		-													
-			l																
-																			
20																			
20-																			
7	WA Z : N	TER Mat	OBS ER F	SE	RVATIONS:		E			ER:	0	— T		5ft.		DRILL	ED B	Y GET	T) Dr
		NAT	ER D	EF	TH AT 6.0 ft. AFTER 0.5-HOUR			VLI		ni v Le		_ '	~	<u></u> n.					

					LOG OF BO	DRIN	١G	NC). E	3 - 3							Sh	eet 1	of
		100		Geo	etech Engineering and Testing	PRO	JECT	G/S f	for 23r	d Stre	et Rec	onstru	iction	From E	Broadv	vay to S	Seawall	Bouleva	ard
GE	DTE	СН		174 Hou	07 US Highway 59 Iston, Texas 77396	LOC,		NO :2	vestor	Coun	iy, TX STAT	ION N	0.1						
ENG R TE	INEER ISTIN	ING		Pho	ne: 713-699-4000 Fax: 713-699-9200	DATI	E: 6-1	5-19	10-011		COMF	LETIC	ON DE	PTH: 1	16.0 ft.				
			1	T		w			%	0			NOI	ήF)	UN	DRAINE	ED SHEA	AR STRE	NGTH,
DEPTH, ft	SPT N-VALUE blows per foot	OVM, ppm	SYMBOL	SAMPLES	DESCRIPTION	URAL MOISTUR CONTENT %	.IQUID LIMIT, %	LASTIC LIMIT, %	STICITY INDEX,	RCENT PASSING NO. 200 SIEVE	CTION (pF)	Y UNIT WEIGHT	CENT COMPACT	SING/FAILING (F			ENETRO		SSION
					ELEVATION: Existing Grade	NAT		<u>م</u>	PLA	Pa	SU	DR	PER	PAS		RIAXIA	SOLIDA L 0 1.5	20	2.5
	11				ASPHALT PAVEMENT (3" in thickness) CONCRETE PAVEMENT (4" in thickness) FILL: SILTY SAND (SM), brown, light brown, with root fibers to 2' SILTY SAND (SM), medium dense, brown, light brown, with root fibers to 6'	8													
- 5-	8			∇	- loose, light gray 4' to 6', dark gray 4' to 16'	11				19									_
1	12			$\left \right\rangle$		23													
-	9			X	- loose 8' to 12', gray 6' to 16'	28													
10-			and a straight	$ \square $	- with shell 10' to 12'														
	6					26				16									
	11			X	- loose 14' to 16'	28													
15-	6			$\left \right $		26	5												
1007																			
20-										l)									_
7	 ₩A ⁻ ⊈ : \		ER	BSE	COUNTERED AT 7.0 ft. DURING DRILLING	1	 	DRY A	AUGI ROT	ER: ARY:	0 7	Т Т	0 <u>7</u> 0 <u>1</u>	ft. 6 ft.		DRILL LOGO	LED BY GED BY	GET (Victor	T)

GEOTECH ENGINEERING & TESTING

						LOG OF BO	ORIN	IG	NC). E	3 - 4							Sł	neet	1 of 1
1	-				Geo	otech Engineering and Testing	PRO	JECT	: G/S	for 23	rd Stre	et Rec	constru	iction	From B	Broad	way to	Seawa	III Boule	evard
	GEI		СН		174	107 US Highway 59	LOC		V: Gal	vestor	n Coun	ty, TX		~						
	NG	NEER	ING	1	Pho	one: 713-699-4000 Fax: 713-699-9200	PRO	JECT	NO.:	19-01	8E	STAT			отц	16 O F	ŀ			
ŀ				ſ	T		DAT		5-19					z		UN	IDRAIN	ED SHE	AR STR	RENGTH,
		Ш¥					rure 6	%	ľ. %	EX, %	SING		H	ACTIC	G (P/F		HAND P	ENETR	t ROMETE	R
	лн, т	-VALU per foc	1, ppm	MBOL	MPLES	DESCRIPTION	MOIS	D LIMIT	C LIMI	TY IND	IT PAS 00 SIE	N (pF)	T WEIG	COMF	FAILIN		TORVAI	NE		
	Ě	SPT N blows	NVO	S	SAI		CONT	LIQUIE	LASTI	STICI	NO 2	JCT10	INN Y	CENT	SING			FINED	COMPR	ESSION
						ELEVATION: Existing Grade	LAN		۵.	PLA	d	SL	DR	PER	PAS	0	TRIAXIA	0 1	5 2.0	2.5
	0-	_		P. 6	3	ASPHALT PAVEMENT (3" in	-				1									
	-			\otimes	31	CONCRETE PAVEMENT (4" in														
				\otimes	81	FILL: SILTY SAND (SM), brown, dark	20													
				Ť	Ì	\brown, with shell / SILTY SAND (SM), medium dense,														
	1	16			IN	brown, dark brown, gray, with root	23													
					$ \Lambda $															
						- dark gray 4' to 16', brownish yellow 4' to 8'														
	5-	17			X		24													
				1012		N.														
						7														
	-	13			IX		24				20									
	1	Z			I P	- loose 8' to 10'							1							
					$ k\rangle$															
		8			IX		22													
	10-				F	7										-				_
				1000	\mathbb{N}	1														
		16		10.00	ľ		21													
	1			1.12	Ē															
	4				\mathbb{N}	/														
		11		1000		V														
						- loose 14' to 16'														
	15-	7			I	(24	Ļ			14	1				1	+			
						\														
	ł																			
	_																			
	20-																			
	,	l WA	 TER	 Oe	 BSE	ERVATIONS:					ED.		т		2 f4		וופּח			(T)
1	7	<u>Z</u> (1	NAT	ER	EN	COUNTERED AT 8.0 ft. DURING DRILLING		\	VET	ROT	ARY	8	_ †	0 _	, it. 16 ft.		LOG	GED B	Y Victo	or i
ξL		4.5	NAT	ER	DE	PTH AT 8.0 ft. AFTER 0.5-HOUR		_	_								_	_		

GEOTECH ENGINEERING & TESTING
					LOG OF BO	DRIN	١G	NC). E	3 - 5	5						S	heet	1 c	of 1
F				Ge	otech Engineering and Testing	PRO	JECT	: G/S	for 23	rd Stre	et Red	constru	uction	From (Broadv	vay to	Seawa	all Bou	evard	
GE	OTE	CH		174 Ho	407 US Highway 59 uston, Texas 77396	LOC,		NO :	vestor	n Coun	ty, TX	TOM N	0							
END & T	STIN	IING V		Ph	one: 713-699-4000 Fax: 713-699-9200	DATI	E: 6-1	5-19	10-01	012	COMF	LETIC		PTH:	16.0 ft.					
						щ			%	U			LION	P/F)	UN	DRAINI	ED SHE	EAR ST	RENG	iτH,
ŧ.	LUE	5	ē			DISTUR	AIT, %	MIT. %	NDEX,	ASSIN	E)	EIGHT	MPACT	FING (F	A	AND P	ENETF	ROMET	ER	
DEPTH	T N-VA	DVM, pi	CVAR	SAMPI	DESCRIPTION	AL MO	nin Lir	STIC L	ICITY I	CENT P	d) NOI	NIT W	NT CO	NG/FAI		INCON	FINED	COMP	RESS	ION
	P S D				FLEVATION: Existing Grade	NATUR	ΓIΟ	PLA	PLAST	PER	such	DRY (PERCE	PASSI		NCON	SOLID	ATED-U	INDR/	AINED
0-	-	-	100		ASPHALT PAVEMENT (3" in		-			-		-			0	5 1	0 1	5 2	0 2	5
-				\otimes	(thickness) CONCRETE PAVEMENT (4" in															
				\otimes	thickness) FILL: SILTY SAND (SM), brown, light	23				11										
-			Ň	Ĩ	\brown, with shell / SILTY SAND (SM), medium dense,															
3	15			$ \rangle$	brown, gray, with root fibers to 12', gravels, shell	23														
				14																
5				T	-															
5	16					24														
5	-			l	- light gray 6' to 16'															
-	12					24														
				1/																
					-															
-	12			$ \rangle$		22				7	,									
10-				1	- loose 10' to 12'														_	
5				Ī																
	10		2010102			21														
_				-																
-	12			$ \rangle$		24														
-				l																
15-				ł																
15	14					24														
						-														
-	-																			
3																				
20-																				
	WA	I TEF	20	BSI	ERVATIONS:		Г	DRY A		ER:	0	Т	L О	5 ft	ļ	l DRILI	I .ED B	I Y: GE ⁻	Г (Т)	1.
7	Z	WA1	FP	EN	COUNTERED AT 6.0 ft. DURING DRILLING		V	VET	ROT	ARY	6	T	0 1	1 <u>6</u> ft	8	LOGO	GED B	Y Vict	or	

						LOG OF B	ORIN	١G	NC). E	3 - 6							Sh	ieet 1	1 of
				G	eot	tech Engineering and Testing	PRO	JECT	: G/S	for 23	d Stre	et Rec	constru	iction	From E	Broadv	vay to a	Seawal	Boule	vard
GE		CH		11 11	740	07 US Highway 59	LOC		V: Gal	vestor	i Coun	ly, TX	10001	0						
	NEEP		V	P	hor	ne: 713-699-4000 Fax: 713-699-9200		JECT	NO.:	19-01	8E	STAT	ION N	O. DN DE	PTH	16.0 ft				
		1	T		Т								Labort 10	NO	Ē.	UN	DRAINE	ED SHE	AR STR	ENGTI
	U H						TURE %	%	T, %	EX, %	SING		SHT.	ACTIC	IG (P/I	A F	AND P	ENETR	OMETEI	R
PTH, ft	N-VALL	A, ppm		YMBOL	MPLE	DESCRIPTION	MOIS		IC LIMI	TY INC	NT PAS	N (pF)	T WEI	COMF	/FAILIN	Т	ORVAN	₩Ę.		
DE	SPT /	No.		ŝ	SA		CON	רוסחוו	PLAST	ASTICI	ERCEI NO 2	UCTIO	sy UNI	CENT	SSING		JNCON	FINED C	COMPRE	ESSIO
						ELEVATION: Existing Grade	NA			L L	□ □	S	ď	PEF	PA	ГО	RIAXIA	L 0 1,5	5 2,0	2,5
0-			0.5	10.00		ASPHALT PAVEMENT (4" in	r													
-			a)XXX	×	ΠĨ	CONCRETE PAVEMENT (6" in	r													
			XXX	*	ł	FILL: SILTY SAND (SM), brown, light	23													
			0.04		H	SILTY SAND (SM), medium dense,				h -										
i i e	14		1000		V	brown, gray, with shell	24				8									
			10.000		Δ															
			0.000		H															
5-	16		200200		XI		25													
-	¥		687 H 444		Д	- loose 6' to 8'														
			1000		М															
	6		1212		Ň		26										h - 1			
	-		144		H	- light gray 8' to 10'														
			100		M															
	16				M		29													
10-			100	0.000																
	20		1000		M		28				6	5								
			1000		Δ															
			2.074		H	- loose 12' to 16'														
	9		2442		M		32	2												
	-			1.1	Д															
4.5					H															
15-	10		1000		X		32	2												
2			100		1		-													
5																				
3																				
2	-																			
20																				
20																				
	WA` ⊽•v	TEF WA'	R (DBS RF	SEI	RVATIONS: COUNTERED AT 6.0 ft. DURING DRILLING		[AUGI	ER:	0	— T	0_4	3ft. 16ft		DRILI LOGO	ED BY	GET	(T) r
		WA.	TE	RD	EP	TH AT 6.0 ft. AFTER 0.5-HOUR		,			-1319		_ '			3				

Geotech Engineering and Testing 17407 US Highway 59 Houston, Texas 77396 Phone: 713-699-4000 Fax: 713-699-9200 U H H H H H H H H H H H H H	PROJ LOCA PROJ DATE MOISTURE CONTENT %	JECT: ATION JECT E: 6-1:	: G/S fo J: Galv NO.: 1 5-19	eston 9-018	d Stree Coun 3E ()	et Rec ly, TX STAT COMP	ONSTRU	O. O. N DE	From B	iroadwa 6.0 ft.	y to Sea	wall Bou	levard
Image: Strain	NATURAL MOISTURE CONTENT %	JECT JECT :: 6-11: "ID FIMIL" %	V: Galv NO.: 1 5-19 % Liv	eston 9-018 %	Coun 3E (0	y, TX STAT COMP	ION N	O.)N DE	PTH: 1	6.0 ft.			
HOUSELING Phone: 713-699-4000 Fax: 713-699-9200 H HOUSELING Phone: 713-699-4000 Fax: 713-699-9200 H H H H H H H H H H H H H H H H H H H H H H H	NATURAL MOISTURE CONTENT %	E: 6-1: % 'LIWIT (NU.: 1 5-19 % LIV	9-018 % X	3E (COMP		O. N DE	PTH: 1	6.0 ft.			
UNV. PLAN A CONTRACT OF CONTRA	NATURAL MOISTURE CONTENT %	ID LIMIT, %	MIT, %	X, %	0	501011				0.0 11.			
U H H L H L H L H H L H H H H H H H H H	NATURAL MOISTURE CONTENT %	ID LIMIT, %	MIT, %	× `	1 (n. 1			Z	Ê	UNDF	AINED S	HEAR S	TRENGT
DESCRIPTION	NATURAL MOIS CONTENT	ID LIMIT	5	ш	NE		SHT,	ACTIO	IG (P/I	A HA	ND PENE	TROME	TER
ELEVATION: Existing Grade	NATURAL	1	CLIN	TY INE	IT PAS	N (pF)	r WEI	COMF	FAILIN	📕 то	RVANE		
ELEVATION: Existing Grade	LAN	nor	LASTI	STICI	ERCEN NO 2	JCTIO	NN X	CENT	SING			D COMP	RESSIO
			£	PLA	PI	SI	Ъ	PER	PAS		AXIAL 1.0	1.5 2	0 25
ASPHALT PAVEMENT (5.5" in										-			
- CONCRETE PAVEMENT (9" in													
FILL: SILTY SAND (SM), light gray,													
light brown, with root fibers to 2	19												
light brown, gray, with root fibers to 16'	20												
	20												
- loose 4' to 6'													
5- 10	22				10					-		-	
	23												
- loose 8' to 12'													
- 8 V	21												
10-												_	
/ / / / /	21												
	23				13								
- 100se 14 to 16													
15- 10 X	21												
20-											_	_	
									0				
∑ : WATER ENCOUNTERED AT 6.0 ft. DURING DRILLING		D	VET F	ROTA	RY RY	6		0 <u>6</u> 0 <u>1</u>	<u>6</u> ft.	D L	OGGED	BY GE BY Vic	tor

LOG OF	BORIN	١G	NO). E	3 - 8						Sh	neet 1	of 1
Geotech Engineering and Testing	PRO	JECT	G/S f	or 23r	d Stre	et Rec	constru	iction I	From E	Broadway to	o Seawa	l Bouleva	rd
17407 US Highway 59	LOC	IOITA	I: Galv	/eston	Coun	ty, TX							
Houston, Texas 7/396 ESTINO Phone: 713-699-4000 Fax: 713-699-9200	PRO	JECT	NO.: 1	19-018	BE	STAT	ION N	0.					
	DAT	E: 6-1	5-19			SOME	1ERC		PTH: *	UNDRAI	NED SHE	AR STREM	IGTH,
	JRE	%	%	×, %	S N N		E	CTIO	(P/F)		tsi	OMETER	
DESCRIPTION LING WAS ELEVATION: Existing Grade	NATURAL MOISTI CONTENT, %	LIQUID LIMIT,	PLASTIC LIMIT.	PLASTICITY INDE	PERCENT PASS NO. 200 SIEV	SUCTION (pF)	DRY UNIT WEIGH	PERCENT COMPA	PASSING/FAILING		ANE NFINED (NSOLIDA IAL 1.0 1.3	COMPRES	SION RAINI 2.5
ASPHALT PAVEMENT (5" in thickness) CONCRETE PAVEMENT (4" in thickness) FILL: SILTY SAND (SM), light gray, brownish yellow SILTY SAND (SM), medium dense, light gray, brownish yellow, with root fibers to 12' - loose 4' to 6', gray, light brown 4' to	24 21 8*												
10 11 - Ioose, dark gray, with gravels 8' to 1	22 23				10)							
9 - gray, light brown 10' to 16'	21												
- 11 - 100se 12' to 16'	20)											
	22	2											
6					12	2							
WATER OBSERVATIONS:		Г	DRY A	AUGF	ER	0	T	0 8	3ft	DRI	LLED B	GET (T)
∑: WATER ENCOUNTERED AT 8.0 ft. DURING DRILLING	3	V	VET	ROT	ARY	8		0 1	6 ft	LOC	GED B	/ Victor	·
▼: WATER DEPTH AT 8.0 ft. AFTER 0.5-HOUR													_

Gototot Engineering and Testing 17407 US Highway 59 (houston, Texas 77386) Phone: 713-809-4000 Fax: 713-899-9200 PROLCT: Git effective States Reading Converts TX PROLCT: Git Phone: TX Prome: 713-809-4000 PROLCT: Git Phone: TX Prome: 713-809-4000 Prome: TX Prome: 713-809-4000 v u								LOG OF B	ORII	N	G	NO). E	3 - 9							S	heet	1 c	of 1
Water Observed 17407 US Highway 59 Phone: 713-689-4000 LocAttol: Generation Comp. 1X Decomposition of the transmission Comp. 1X Decomp. 1X Decomposition of the transm				in the second se		Ge	ote	ech Engineering and Testing	PRO	DJE	ECT:	G/S I	or 23r	d Stre	et Rec	constr	uction	From B	Broad	way to	Seawa	all Bou	levaro	ł
Processor Production Product N2: 1948/E STATION C: EVENT Product N2: 1948/E			EC	н		174 174	07	7 US Highway 59	LOC	CAT	TION	l: Galv	/estor	1 Coun	ly, TX	ł								
Unice Unice <th< td=""><td>ENG By T</td><td>ANE</td><td>ERIN</td><td></td><td>7</td><td>Pho</td><td>ne Dne</td><td>e: 713-699-4000 Fax: 713-699-9200</td><td>PRO</td><td></td><td>ECT</td><td>NO.:</td><td>19-01</td><td>8E</td><td>STAT</td><td>ION</td><td></td><td>ртц.</td><td>16 O f</td><td>ŀ</td><td></td><td></td><td></td><td></td></th<>	ENG By T	ANE	ERIN		7	Pho	ne Dne	e: 713-699-4000 Fax: 713-699-9200	PRO		ECT	NO.:	19-01	8E	STAT	ION		ртц.	16 O f	ŀ				
Product Description Product			T			ľ		1. Sendendrik II. (1995)		1	0-10	5-15		1	JOIWI		Z			DRAIN	ED SHI	EAR ST	RENG	STH,
L Log International Stress DESCRIPTION Description of the commence commensus of the c		ш Ш	t			0			TURE %		%	Т, %	EX, %	VE		SHT,	ACTIC	IG (P/F		HAND F	ENET	ROMET	ER	
B C 6 5 B C B C B C B C B C B C B C B C B C B C B C B C B C C B C B C B C B C	ρTH, ft	-VALU	per fo	1, ppm	MBOL	MPLE		DESCRIPTION	MOIS		LIMIT	C LIMI	IN INC	IT PAS	N (pF)	r weic	COMF	FAILIN		TORVA	NE			
D ELEVATION: Existing Grade 2 2 3 5 5 2 0 10 20	DEF	SPT N	blows	N 0	ŝ	SA			CONT		Ingui	LASTI	STICI	NO 2	ICTIO	INN X	CENT	SING/			FINED	COMP	RESS	
0 ASPHALT PAXEMENT (6.5" in Unickness) 0								ELEVATION: Existing Grade	LAN			đ.	PLA	L H	S	DR	PER	PAS		TRIAXI	NL 0 1	5 2	0 2	5
WATER RECORDUCTERED AT 12 0 # DURING DRILLING DRV AUGER: 0 12 0	0-							ASPHALT PAVEMENT (5.5" in	-	t													0 2	
Image: Second	1.1					3 X	1	CONCRETE PAVEMENT (4" in	71															
14 15 14 14 - light gray 4' to 6' 15 16 24 - gray 6' to 8' 24 17 25 - brown, with gravels 8' to 10' 25 - gray 10' to 12' 28 - gray 10' to 12' 28 - gray 10' to 12' 28 - greenish gray 12' to 16' 22 - greenish gray 12' to 16' 20 - greenish gray 12' to 16' 20 - greenish gray 12' to 16' 20 - greenish gray 12' to 16' 21 - greenish gray 12' to 16' 22 - greenish gray 12' to 16' 23 - greenish gray 12' to 16' 24 - greenish gray 12' to 16' 25 - greenish gray 12' to 16' 26 - greenish gray 12' to 16' 27 - greenish gray 12' to 16' 28 - greenish gray 12' to 16' 29 - greenish gray 12' to 16' 20 - greenish gray 12' to 16' 20 - greenish gray 12' to 16' 21 - greenish gray 12' to 16' 22 - greenish gray 12' to 16'					8	8	1	thickness) FILL: SILTY SAND (SM), light brown	1	4				5										
14 15 15 5- 16 - light gray 4' to 6' 24 - gray 6' to 8' 24 17 - brown, with gravels 8' to 10' - gray 10' to 12' - gray 10' to 12' - greenish gray 12' to 16' 24 15 17 - greenish gray 12' to 16' 24 15 17 28 29 20 WATER OBSERVATIONS: 20 WATER OBSERVATIONS: 20 WATER DOBUMITERED AT 12.0 ft. DURING DRILLING WET ROTARY: 12				1	T			SILTY SAND (SM), medium dense,																
- -	- 1		14			V			1	5														
5- 16 14 - gray 6' to 8' 17 - brown, with gravels 8' to 10' 13 - gray 10' to 12' 13 - gray 10' to 12' 15 - greenish gray 12' to 16' 24 - greenish gray 12' to 16' 25 - greenish gray 12' to 16' 26 - greenish gray 12' to 16' 27 - greenish greenigreenigreenistic gray 12' to 16' 27 <td></td> <td></td> <td></td> <td></td> <td></td> <td> </td> <td></td> <td>107 · · · · · · · · · ·</td> <td></td>								107 · · · · · · · · · ·																
5- 16 14 14 14 - - - - - - 24 17 13 34 - - 25 - - - - - - 26 - - - - - - - 10- - - - - - - - - 28 -							-	- light gray 4' to 6'																
- - gray 6' to 8' - - brown, with gravels 8' to 10' - - brown, with gravels 8' to 10' - - - <t< td=""><td>5</td><td>-</td><td>16</td><td></td><td></td><td>X</td><td></td><td></td><td>1.</td><td>4</td><td></td><td></td><td></td><td></td><td></td><td></td><td></td><td></td><td></td><td></td><td>-</td><td></td><td></td><td></td></t<>	5	-	16			X			1.	4											-			
- gray 0 to 3 - brown, with gravels 8' to 10' - gray 10' to 12' - gray 10' to 12' - greenish gray 12' to 16' - greenish grey 12' to 16' </td <td></td> <td></td> <td></td> <td></td> <td></td> <td> </td> <td></td> <td>arou 61 to 01</td> <td></td>								arou 61 to 01																
24 17 17 25 13 34 - gray 10' to 12' 13 - gray 10' to 12' 15 - greenish gray 12' to 16' 24 15 17 15 17 15 17 16 22 17 22 18 24 19 24 10 24 15 17 17 22 18 24 19 24 19 24 19 24 19 24 19 24 19 24 10 24 10 10 110 10 110 10 110 10 111 10 111 10 111 10 111 10 111 10 111 110 111 110 111 110 <						T		- gray o to o																
- brown, with gravels 8' to 10' - -	1	1 2	24			X			1	7														
- 25 - gray 10' to 12' - - - - - - - - - - - - - - - - - - - - - - - - - - - - - - - - - - - - - - - - - - - - - - - - - - - - - - - - - - - - - - - -	Ŀ					ľ	1.	- brown, with gravels 8' to 10'																
10- 25 13 34 13 34 - gray 10' to 12' 15 15 15 16 - greenish gray 12' to 16' 24 15 16 16 - greenish gray 12' to 16' 24 16 16 17 - greenish gray 12' to 16' 24 16 16 17 - greenish gray 12' to 16' 24 16 16 17 - greenish gray 12' to 16' 24 24 16 16 17 - greenish gray 12' to 16' 24 24 16 17 17 17 - greenish gray 12' to 16' 24 <td>1</td> <td></td>	1																							
10- - gray 10' to 12' 28 15 - greenish gray 12' to 16' 24 15- 17 17 22 15- 17 20- WATER OBSERVATIONS: 20- WATER OBSERVATIONS: 20- DRY AUGER: 0 15- 17 20- DRY AUGER: 0 15- 12. TO 12. ft. 16 DRY AUGER: 0 12. TO 12. ft. 17 USGED BY. Victor USGED BY. Victor DRY AUGER: 0 12. ft. DRILED BY. GET (T)		1	25			X			1	3				34										
- 28 15 - greenish gray 12' to 16' - 22 15- 17 - 17 - 17 - 17 - 17 - 17 - 17 - 17 - 17 - 17 - 17 - 17 - 18 - 19 - 10 - 10 - 10 - 10 - 10 - 10 - 10 - 10 - 10 - 10 - 10 - 12	10	-		- 1		1	1.	- gray 10' to 12'												1				
28 - greenish gray 12' to 16' 22 24 15- 17 15- 17 20- 24 20- 25 20- 26 20- 27 20- 28 20- 29 20- 20- 212 20- 212 20- 212 12 212 12 212 12		_				\mathbf{V}	1																	
- - <td></td> <td></td> <td>28</td> <td></td> <td></td> <td> </td> <td></td> <td></td> <td>1</td> <td>5</td> <td></td>			28						1	5														
22 24 24 22 15 17 22 22 16 17 22 10 17 22 10 10 18 22 10 10 19 10 10 10 10 10 10 10 15 17 10 12 10 10 10 10 10 10 10 10 10 10 10 10 10 10 10 10 110 10 10 10 10 110 10 10 10 10 110 10 10 10 10 110 10 10 10 10 110 10 10 10 10 110 10 10 10 10 10 110 10 10 10 10 10 110 10 10 10 10 10 10	1	Ť					1.	- greenish gray 12' to 16'																
15- 17 17 22 10 <t< td=""><td></td><td>-</td><td>22</td><td></td><td></td><td>N</td><td>1</td><td></td><td>2</td><td>4</td><td></td><td></td><td></td><td></td><td></td><td></td><td></td><td>0</td><td></td><td></td><td></td><td></td><td></td><td></td></t<>		-	22			N	1		2	4								0						
15- 17 22 16- 22 17- 22 18- 22 19- 10 19- 10 19- 10 19- 10 19- 10 19- 10 19- 10 19- 10 19- 10 19- 10 19- 10 10- 10			~~			$ \rangle$			-															
15- 17 - - <						-																		
20- WATER OBSERVATIONS: ∑: WATER ENCOUNTERED AT 12.0 ft. DURING DRILLING DRY AUGER: 0 12 TO 12 ft. DRILLED BY: GET (T) WATER DEEDTH AT 12.0 ft AFTER 0.6 HOURD DRY AUGER: 0 12 TO 12 ft. DRIGGED BY. Victor	15	-	17			Ŋ			2	2										1				
20- WATER OBSERVATIONS: ∑: WATER ENCOUNTERED AT 12.0 ft. DURING DRILLING WATER DEPTH AT 12.0 ft. DURING DRILLING WATER DEPTH AT 12.0 ft. DURING DRILLING						1																		
20- WATER OBSERVATIONS: ∑: WATER ENCOUNTERED AT 12.0 ft. DURING DRILLING DRY AUGER: 0 TO 12 TO 12 TO 12 TO 16 MATER DEPTH AT 12.0 ft. AFTER 0.6 HOUR																								
20- WATER OBSERVATIONS: ∑: WATER ENCOUNTERED AT 12.0 ft. DURING DRILLING DRY AUGER: 0 TO 12 TO 12 TO 16 TO 16 TO 16 TO 16 TO 16 TO 10																								
20- WATER OBSERVATIONS: ∑: WATER ENCOUNTERED AT 12.0 ft. DURING DRILLING WATER DEPTH AT 12.0 ft. DURING DRILLING WATER DEPTH AT 12.0 ft. DURING DRILLING WATER DEPTH AT 12.0 ft. DURING DRILLING WATER DEPTH AT 12.0 ft. DURING DRILLING WATER DEPTH AT 12.0 ft. DURING DRILLING WATER DEPTH AT 12.0 ft. DURING DRILLING		-																						
20- WATER OBSERVATIONS: ∑: WATER ENCOUNTERED AT 12.0 ft. DURING DRILLING DRY AUGER: 0 TO 12 ft. DRILLED BY: GET (T) LOGGED BY: Victor													0											
20- WATER OBSERVATIONS:																								
WATER OBSERVATIONS: DRY AUGER: 0 TO 12 ft. DRILLED BY: GET (T)	20	-																						
▼: WATER ENCOUNTERED AT 12.0 ft. DURING DRILLING WET ROTARY: 12 TO 16 ft. LOGGED BY Victor		W	ATI	ER	OE	SE	R	VATIONS:	-	1	D	RY A	L	ER.	0	I T	0 /	 2 ft	-	DRIL	I LED B	I Y: GE	L Т (Т)	1
		▽ :	SW S	ATE	ER	EN		DUNTERED AT 12.0 ft. DURING DRILLING			N	/ET F	ROT	ARY	12	<u>2</u> T	0	16 ft.		LOG	GED B	Y Vicl	or	

ħ.

					LOG OF BC	RIN	G	NO	. в	- 1	0						S	heet	1 0	of
			•	Geo	tech Engineering and Testing	PRO	JECT	: G/S	for 23i	rd Stre	et Re	constru	uction	From	Broadv	way to	Seawa	all Bou	levaro	b
GE		СН		174) Hou	07 US Highway 59 stop: Texas 77396	LOC		V: Gal	vestor	1 Coun	ty, TX									
	STIN	ING	1	Pho	ne: 713-699-4000 Fax: 713-699-9200	PRO	JECT	NU.:	19-01	8E	STAT	NON N	יט ואר וטי	рти	1608					
			1	11		DAT	. 0-1	5-15		1		LEIN	Z			Drain	ED SHE	EAR ST	RENG	3
	шt					TURE	%	Ľ, %	EX, %	SING		HT	ACTIC	G (P/F	A F	IAND P	ENETR	ROMET	ER	-
хтн, я	-VALL per fo	l, ppm	MBOL	MPLES	DESCRIPTION	MOIS ENT.	LIMIT	C LIMI	∧ IND	T PAS	V (pF)	d, WEIO	COMF	FAILIN	П	ORVA	NE			
DEF	SPT N blows	NNO	S	SAI		URAL	and.	LASTI	STICI	RCEN NO 2(CTION	LINN J	CENT	SING/	• u	INCON	FINED	COMP	RESS	ł
					ELEVATION: Existing Grade	NAT		Ē	PLA	Ba	SU	DRY	PER(PAS		RIAXIA	SOLID	ATED-L	JNDR	8
0-	_		~~~		ASPHALT PAVEMENT (4" in	r .		· · · ·								5	α η	5 2	0 2	Ì
-					(thickness) FILL: SILTY SAND (SM), brown, with gravels	16														
_					SILTY SAND (SM), medium dense, brown, greenish gray, with gravels															
_	12			Å		26				10										
5-	15			$\overline{\mathbf{v}}$		27														
N	2			$\left \right $																
-	17			\mathbb{N}		45														
				H	- gray, light brown 8' to 10'															
-	14			X		23			а,											
-01				R	- dark gray 10' to 12'															
	18			Å		25				12										
				H	- gray 12' to 16'															
-	20			$\ $		25														
15-				R																
_	20			Ň		25														
									0:											
_																				
20-																		-		
- Z	Ι ΝΑΊ Ζ: ν	ER VAT	OB ER I	SEI	RVATIONS: OUNTERED AT 6.0 ft. DURING DRILLING	1	L C V	I RY A VET I	I AUGE ROT/	ER: ARY:	0	— Т — Т	0 <u>6</u> 0 <u>1</u>	ft. 6 ft.		DRILL LOGO	LED B' GED B'	Y GET Y Vict	Г (Т) or	1

					LOG OF BO	RIN	G	NO	. в	- 11	1						Sh	neet	1 of	1
1			(Geo	tech Engineering and Testing	PRO	JECT	G/S	for 23	rd Stre	et Rec	constru	iction	From B	Broadv	vay to s	Seawa	II Boule	vard	
GE	DTE	CH	, i	l / 4 lou	ston, Texas 77396	PRO	JECT	NO.:	vestor 19-01	8E	STAT	10N N	0.,							
R TI	ESTIN			Pho	ne: 713-699-4000 Fax: 713-699-9200	DAT	E: 6-1	5-19			COMF	LETIC	N DE	PTH:	16.0 ft.					
						RE	9	%	ζ, %	۵		<u>ب</u>	CTION	(P/F)	UN	DRAINE	ED SHE	AR STR	ENGT	н,
DEPTH, ft	SPT N-VALUE blows per foot	ОVМ, ррт	SYMBOL	SAMPLES	DESCRIPTION ELEVATION: Existing Grade	NATURAL MOISTU CONTENT %	LIQUID LIMIT, "	PLASTIC LIMIT,	PLASTICITY INDE)	PERCENT PASSI NO 200 SIEVE	SUCTION (pF)	DRY UNIT WEIGH	PERCENT COMPA	PASSING/FAILING		ORVAN INCONI INCONI RIAXIA ,5 1,	ENETR VE FINED (SOLIDA L 0 1,1	COMPRI COMPRI TED-UN 5 2,0	R ESSIC VDRAI 2,5)N NEC
-0	-				ASPHALT PAVEMENT (4" in \thickness) // FILL: SILTY SAND (SM), brown, gray, with shell	16														
4	12			Į	SILTY SAND (SM), medium dense, gray, brown, with shell	24														
	-																			
5-	16			Å		27				13										
	13			\mathbb{N}		30														
-	13			$\left \right $		25														
-	18			$\left \right $		31				6										
-	17			$\left \right $	- light gray 12' to 16'	24														
-																				
10-	22			Ň		25														
	-																			
20-																			_	
-	 	I FER WAT	OB ER I	SE	RVATIONS: COUNTERED AT 6.0 ft. DURING DRILLING PTH AT 6.0 ft. AFTER 0.5-HOUR		L V	I DRY A VET I	l AUGE ROT/	ER: ARY:	0	— T(0 <u>6</u> 0 <u>1</u>	[ft. 6 ft.	I	DRILL LOGO	LED BY SED BY	' GET / Victo	(T) r	

ł.

						LOG OF BC	RIN	G	NO.	. В	- 12	2						Sł	neet	1 of
		1		Ge	eot	ech Engineering and Testing	PRO	JECT	: G/S †	for 23	rd Stre	et Rec	onstru	iction	From E	Broadw	vay to	Seawa	ll Boule	evard
GEI		СН	l.	17	40	7 US Highway 59	LOC,	10ITA	V: Gal	vestor	1 Coun	ty, TX								
	NEER	ING	1	Ph	ous nor	ston, Texas 77396 ne: 713-699-4000 Eax: 713-699-9200	PRO	JECT	NO.:	19-01	8E	STAT	ION N	0.						
			1	1	Т		DATI	=: 6-1	5-19	-	Ī				PTH:	16.0 π. UNI	DRAINI	ed she	AR STR	RENGT
							URE	%	% ,	% 'X	UNG UNG		₽°.	ACTIO	3 (P/F		AND P	ts ENETR	OMETE	R
JEPTH, ft	T N-VALUE ws per foot	VM, ppm	10011000	SYMBUL	SAMPLES	DESCRIPTION	AL MOIST INTENT, %	JID LIMIT,	STIC LIMIT	ICITY INDE	ENT PASS 200 SIEV	ION (pF)	NIT WEIGH	NT COMP#	IG/FAILING	T	ORVA		COMPR	ESSIÓ
	SP blo	0					ATUR	LIQI	PLAS	LASTI	PERO	SUCT	JRY U	ERCEI	ASSIN	OY	NCON	SOLIDA	TED-U	NDRAIN
0-						ELEVATION: Existing Grade	z			۵.				E.	<u> </u>	0	5 1	0 1.5	5 20	2,5
U			1 × X	4 N	N,	ASPHALT PAVEMENT (3" in (thickness) CONCRETE PAVEMENT (5.5" in	d													
			XXXX	8		thickness) FILL: SILTY SAND (SM), brown, light brown, with shell	21													
1	12		1997 1997 1997 1997 1997 1997 1997 1997			SILTY SAND (SM), medium dense, gray, light brown, with shell	21													
5-	12		10.00 mar 10.00 mar		X		21													
	_		services.			- dark gray 6' to 12'														
	15		100 No. 10 No. 10		X		20				11		C.							
10-	20				X		23													
10 N	19		100010100000		X		22													
	17				\mathbb{N}	- light gray 12' to 16'	25													
15-	21		1976-1976-19		$\overline{\mathbb{N}}$		24				6									
			51																	
20-																				
I Z	NA ⁻ Z : 1	I TER NAT	O	BS E	EI	RVATIONS: OUNTERED AT 6.0 ft. DURING DRILLING		l V	DRY A	AUGI ROT	ER: ARY:	0	Т Т	0 <u>6</u> 0 <u>1</u>	i ft. 6 ft.		DRILI LOGO	LED BY GED BY	/ GET / Victo	(T) pr

Concern Engineering and Testing 17477 US Highways 59 housin, Testar 7336 Phone: 713-699-4000 PROJECT: G1 set 24th Basel Reconstruction From Boodray to Benvall Baulsouth LOCATION: Galeration Consty, TX Project TV: 18494-4000 With Basel Transmittion From Provide Transmittion Basel Phone: 713-699-4000 DESCRIPTION From Provide Transmittion Phone: 713-699-4000 Project TV: 18-09-4000 Provide Transmittion Phone: 713-699-4000 With Basel Phone: 713-699-4000 DESCRIPTION ELEVATION: Existing Grade With Basel Phone: 713-699-4000 With Basel Phone: 713-699-4000 With Basel Phone: 713-699-4000 Understand Phone: 713-699-4000 DESCRIPTION ELEVATION: Existing Grade With Basel Phone: 713-699-4000 With Basel Phone: 713-699-4000 With Basel Phone: 713-699-4000 Upper Phone: 713-699-4000 DESCRIPTION ELEVATION: Existing Grade With Basel Phone: 713-699-4000 Phone: 713-699-4000 <th< th=""><th></th><th></th><th></th><th></th><th></th><th></th><th>LOG OF BC</th><th>RIN</th><th>G</th><th>NO</th><th>. В</th><th>- 13</th><th>3</th><th></th><th></th><th></th><th></th><th></th><th>Sł</th><th>neet</th><th>1 of 1</th></th<>							LOG OF BC	RIN	G	NO	. В	- 13	3						Sł	neet	1 of 1
Uncomment 174/20 US Highway 59 LocATION E-statement Carey, TX Prome: 713/20 US Highway 59 Description Comment Care 1, 50% Comment Care 1, 50% Comment Care 1, 50% Prome: 713/20 US Highway 59 DESCRIPTION State 1, 51% Comment Care 1, 50%	1			0 e	G	eot	tech Engineering and Testing	PRO	JECT	: G/S	for 23r	d Stree	et Rec	onstru	iction	From E	Broadv	vay to a	Seawa	II Boule	evard
Product Product <t< td=""><td>GE</td><td>011</td><td>ECH</td><td></td><td>17 Ц</td><td>'4C</td><td>07 US Highway 59 stop Taxas 77396</td><td>LOC</td><td></td><td>V: Gal</td><td>vestor</td><td>n Coun</td><td>ty, TX</td><td>LODAL N</td><td>0</td><td></td><td></td><td></td><td></td><td></td><td></td></t<>	GE	011	ECH		17 Ц	'4C	07 US Highway 59 stop Taxas 77396	LOC		V: Gal	vestor	n Coun	ty, TX	LODAL N	0						
Unit of the second se	ENC R T	INEE	RING 40	V	Pł	nor	ne: 713-699-4000 Fax: 713-699-9200	DAT	JECT F: 6-1	NU.:	19-01	812	COME	ION N	O.: DN DE	PTH [.]	16.0 ft				
water open set DESCRIPTION set	\vdash	1				T		Ditti							z	Ē.	UN	DRAINE	ED SHE	AR STR	RENGTH,
Product DESCRIPTION Description <thdescription< th=""> <thdescription< th=""> <th< td=""><td></td><td>비번 to</td><td>5</td><td></td><td></td><td>0</td><td></td><td>sTURE %</td><td>r, %</td><td>IT, %</td><td>DEX, %</td><td>SSING</td><td></td><td>GHT,</td><td>PACTI</td><td>NG (P/</td><td>A F</td><td>AND P</td><td>ENETR</td><td>OMETE</td><td>R</td></th<></thdescription<></thdescription<>		비번 to	5			0		sTURE %	r, %	IT, %	DEX, %	SSING		GHT,	PACTI	NG (P/	A F	AND P	ENETR	OMETE	R
B	РТН, ñ	VALL			YMBOI	MPLE	DESCRIPTION	- MOIS	O LIMI	IC LIM	IN AL	NT PA	N (pF)	T WEI	COM	/FAILI	T	ORVAN	٧E		
ELEVATION: Existing Grade S C 2 a <td>DE</td> <td>SPT N</td> <td></td> <td></td> <td>ŝ</td> <td>SP</td> <td></td> <td>CON</td> <td>LIQUII</td> <td>PLAST</td> <td>ASTIC</td> <td>ERCE</td> <td>UCTIO</td> <td>sy un</td> <td>RCENT</td> <td>SSING</td> <td></td> <td>JNCON JNCON</td> <td>FINED</td> <td>COMPR</td> <td>ESSION</td>	DE	SPT N			ŝ	SP		CON	LIQUII	PLAST	ASTIC	ERCE	UCTIO	sy un	RCENT	SSING		JNCON JNCON	FINED	COMPR	ESSION
0 ASPHALT PAVEMENT (3" in thickness) 1 Hickness) 1 CONCRETE PAVEMENT (4.5" in thickness) FILL: SILTY SAND (SM), brown, light SILTY SAND (SM), medium dense, gray, light gray, with shell 1 Siltry SAND (SM), medium dense, gray, light gray, with shell 2 2 1 - dark gray 8' to 12' - dark brown 10' to 12' 2 2 25 - dark brown 10' to 12' 21 2 22 2 25 - dark brown 10' to 12' 21 2 22 2 21 10- - dark brown 10' to 12' 2 22 2 21 10- - dark brown 10' to 12' 2 22 2 21 10- - dark brown 10' to 12' 2 22 2 21 10- - dark brown 10' to 12' 2 22 2 22 2 22 2 22 2 2 2 2 2 2 2 2 2 2 2 2 2 2							ELEVATION: Existing Grade	NA			11	_	N N	Ğ	PEF	PA	С	RIAXIA	1. 0 1,	5 2,0	2,5
WATER OBSERVATIONS: 22 WATER OBSERVATIONS: 22 WATER OBSERVATIONS: 22 WATER CONSERVATIONS: 21 WATER CONSERVATIONS: 22	0-		1	8	1. d		ASPHALT PAVEMENT (3" in	r													
Image: Second				X	\otimes	T ^{CI}	CONCRETE PAVEMENT (4.5" in														
11 Image: Second S				X	\otimes	ſ	FILL: SILTY SAND (SM), brown, light	22				9									
- 11 Image: Second						ŀ	SILTY SAND (SM), medium dense,														
5- 18 22 23 23 10- - dark gray 8' to 12' 22 25 - 10- - dark brown 10' to 12' 21 - - 15- 18 - - - - 15- 18 - - - - - 15- 18 - - - - - - 15- 18 - - - - - - - 22 21 22 22 -		1	1	24425		XI	gray, light gray, with shell	24													
5- 18 22 23 23 10 - dark gray 8' to 12' 22 25 - 10 - dark brown 10' to 12' 21 22 25 15 18 - - - - 15 18 - 22 21 22 22 22 21 22 22 21 15 18 - 22 22 21 22 15 18 - - 22 22 - - 15 18 - - 22 21 - - - 15 18 -		_		10.254		Δ										-					
5- 18 22 19 - dark gray 8' to 12' - - dark brown 10' to 12'				12055																	
Image: Second	5.	16	5	1000		X		22	2												
- 18 - dark gray 8' to 12' - - dark brown 10' to 12' 22 25 - - dark brown 10' to 12' 21 0 - 22 22 25 0 - 16 0 0 0 - 22 22 0 0 - 22 22 0 0 0 - 18 22 22 0 0 0 - 22 22 22 0 0 0 0 - - 22 22 0 0 0 0 0 - - - 0 0 0 0 0 0 - - 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 - - 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 - 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0		1			10.00	\square															
19 - dark gray 8' to 12' 10- - dark brown 10' to 12' 25 - dark brown 10' to 12' 26 - dark brown 10' to 12' 27 21 15- 18 15- 18 16 22 20 22 20 21 20 22 20 21 20 22 20 21 20 22 20 22 20 20 WATER OBSERVATIONS: 22 20 0 WATER PROOUNTERED AT 6.0 ft. DURING DRILLING DRY AUGER: 0 0 20 0 WATER PROOUNTERED AT 6.0 ft. DURING DRILLING DRY AUGER: 0 0 0 10 10 10 10 10 10 10 10 10				1000	100	7															
- dark gray 8' to 12' - dark brown 10' to 12' <t< td=""><td></td><td>19</td><td>9</td><td></td><td>10.00</td><td>X</td><td></td><td>23</td><td>3</td><td></td><td></td><td></td><td></td><td></td><td></td><td></td><td></td><td></td><td></td><td></td><td></td></t<>		19	9		10.00	X		23	3												
- 18 - dark brown 10' to 12' 21 25 21 21 - 25 21 22 22 22 22 22 15 18 22 22 22 22 22 22 20 WATER OBSERVATIONS: 22 22 DRY AUGER: 0 0 0 0 20 WATER OBSERVATIONS: 22 DRY AUGER: 0 TO 6 ft. DRILLED BY: GET (1) VWATER OBSERVATIONS: DRY AUGER: 0 TO 6 ft. DRILLED BY: GET (1)				200		\square	- dark gray 8' to 12'														
18 22 25 21 25 25 21 21 21 21 22 22 22 22 22 15- 18 22 22 22 15- 18 22 22 22 15- 18 22 22 22 20- WATER OBSERVATIONS: 22 22 DRY AUGER: 0 TO <u>6</u> ft. DRULED BY GET (1) 20- WATER OBSERVATIONS: DRY AUGER: 0 TO <u>5</u> ft. DRULED BY GET (1)				1000	12.00	$\overline{\mathbf{V}}$															
10- 25 21 21 25 21 22 15- 18 22 15- 18 22 20- WATER OBSERVATIONS: 22 20- WATER OBSERVATIONS: DRY AUGER: 0 TO 6 ft. DRILLED BY: GET (T) 20- WATER OBSERVATIONS: DRY AUGER: 0 TO 6 ft. DRILLED BY: GET (T)		18	8			Å		22	2			25	ō								
22 22 22 22 22 22 22 22 22 22	10	-		100			- dark brown 10 [°] to 12'										-				
25 21 15 18 15 18 20 22 WATER OBSERVATIONS: 20 WATER OBSERVATIONS: 20 WATER OBSERVATIONS: 21 22 DRY AUGER: 0 10 6 TO 6 TO 16 10						M															
22 22 15- 18 20- 22 WATER OBSERVATIONS: 22 20- DRY AUGER: 0 TO 6 16 ft. DRY AUGER: 0 16 TO 17 16 18 DRY AUGER: 19 DRY AUGER: 10 TO 11 DRY AUGER:			5	2012		M															
22 22 22 15 18 10 <t< td=""><td></td><td></td><td></td><td>10.00</td><td></td><td></td><td></td><td></td><td></td><td></td><td></td><td></td><td></td><td></td><td></td><td></td><td></td><td></td><td></td><td></td><td></td></t<>				10.00																	
15- 18 22 16- 18 16- 10 17- 18 18- 22 19- 10 10- 10		2	2	1151		M		22	2												
15- 18 20- WATER OBSERVATIONS: ☑: ☑: WATER OBSERVATIONS: ☑: ☑: WATER CONSTRUCT						Δ															
15- 18 22 22 10 <				1000																	
20- WATER OBSERVATIONS: ∑: WATER ENCOUNTERED AT 6.0 ft. DURING DRILLING DRY AUGER: 0 TO 6 ft. DRILLED BY: GET (T) UNITER OBSERVATIONS: DRY AUGER: 0 TO 6 ft. DRILLED BY: GET (T)	15	1	8	1000		M		22	2								-				
WATER OBSERVATIONS: \Im : \Im : WATER ENCOUNTERED AT 6.0 ft. DURING DRILLING DRY AUGER: Θ TO Θ TO Θ TO Θ TO Θ TO Θ Θ TO TO TO <		_		1.1		Δ															
20- WATER OBSERVATIONS: \overline{Y} : WATER ENCOUNTERED AT 6.0 ft. DURING DRILLING DRY AUGER: 0 TO 6 ft. DRILLED BY: GET (T) UNDER OF DEFENSION OF A FILE DATE OF DEFENSION OF A FILE DATE OF DEFENSION OF A FILE DATE OF A FILE DA																					
20- WATER OBSERVATIONS: $\overline{\forall}$: WATER ENCOUNTERED AT 6.0 ft. DURING DRILLING $DRY AUGER: \underbrace{0}_{6} TO \underbrace{6}_{16} ft. DRILLED BY: GET (T) \\ WET ROTARY: \underbrace{0}_{6} TO \underbrace{6}_{16} ft. DRILLED BY: Victor$																					
20- WATER OBSERVATIONS: $\overline{\nabla}$: WATER ENCOUNTERED AT 6.0 ft. DURING DRILLING DRY AUGER: 0 TO 6 ft. DRILLED BY: GET (T) UNATER DEPENDATION OF A STEP OF SUPPLY DRY AUGER: 0 TO 6 ft. DRILLED BY: Victor		-																			
20- WATER OBSERVATIONS:																					
20- WATER OBSERVATIONS: \[\nother : WATER ENCOUNTERED AT 6.0 ft. DURING DRILLING DRY AUGER: 0 TO 6 ft. DRILLED BY: GET (T) \[\nother : WATER ENCOUNTERED AT 6.0 ft. DURING DRILLING DRY AUGER: 0 TO 6 ft. DOGGED BY: Victor																					
WATER OBSERVATIONS: DRY AUGER: 0 TO 6 ft. DRILLED BY: GET (T) \[\] WATER ENCOUNTERED AT 6.0 ft. DURING DRILLING DRY AUGER: 0 TO 6 ft. DRILLED BY: GET (T) \[\] WATER ENCOUNTERED AT 6.0 ft. DURING DRILLING DRY AUGER: 0 TO 6 ft. LOGGED BY: Victor	20																-	-			
\[\] WATER ENCOUNTERED AT 6.0 ft. DURING DRILLING WET ROTARY: 6 TO 16 ft. LOGGED BY: Victor WET ROTARY: 6 TO 16 ft. LOGGED BY: Victor		WA	ATE	R	OBS	E	RVATIONS:		l r	DRY A	AUG	ER:	0	T	06	3ft.		DRIL	LED B	Y GET	. (T)
		<u>⊽</u> :	WA	TE	RE	NC	COUNTERED AT 6.0 ft. DURING DRILLING		1	NET	ROT	ARY	6	T		16 ft		LOG	GED B	Y Victo	or

KEY TO LOG TERMS AND SYMBOLS

UNIFIED SOIL CLASSIFICATIONS TERMS CHARACTERIZING SOIL STRUCTURE Having incline planes of weakness that Slickensided Symbol Material Descriptions are slick and glossy in appearance. GW WELL GRADED-GRAVELS, GRAVEL-SAND MIXTURES Containing shrinkage cracks frequently Fissured LITTLE OR NO FINES filled with fine sand or silt: usually vertical. POORLY GRADED GRAVELS, GRAVEL-SAND GP 50 Composed of thin layers of varying colors Laminated MIXTURES, LITTLE OR NO FINES and soil sample texture. GM :23 SILTY GRAVELS, GRAVEL-SAND SILT MIXTURES Composed of alternate layers of different Interbedded soil types. GC CLAY GRAVELS, GRAVEL-SAND CLAY MIXTURES Calcareous Containing appreciable quantities of SW WELL GRADED SANDS, GRAVELLY SANDS, LITTLE calcium carbonate. **OR NO FINES** Well Graded Having wide range in grain sizes and SP POORLY GRADED SANDS, OR GRAVELLY SANDS, substantial amounts of all intermediate LITTLE OR NO FINES particle sizes. SM SILTY SANDS. SAND-SILT MIXTURES a Poorly Graded Predominantly of one grain size, or having a range of sizes with some intermediate SC CLAYEY SANDS, SAND-SILT MIXTURES b sizes missing. \square INORGANIC SILTS AND VERY FINE SANDS. ROCK ML Inclusion of material of different texture Pocket FLOUR, SILTY OR CLAYEY FINE SANDS OR CLAYEY that is smaller than the diameter of the SILTS WITH SLIGHT PLASTICITY sample INORGANIC CLAYS OF LOW TO MEDIUM PLASTICITY CL Parting Inclusion less than 1/8-inch thick extending GRAVELLY CLAYS, SANDY CLAYS, LEAN CLAYS through the sample. ORGANIC SILTS AND ORGANIC SILTY CLAYS OF OL Seam Inclusion 1/4- to 3-inch thick extending LOW PLASTICITY through the sample. INORGANIC SILTS, MICACEOUS OR DIATOMACEOUS MH Ш Inclusion greater than 3-inch thick Layer FINE SANDY OR SILTY SOILS, ELASTIC SILTS extending through the sample. INORGANIC CLAYS OF HIGH PLASTICITY, FAT CLAYS CH Interlayered Soils sample composed of alternating OH ORGANIC CLAYS OF MEDIUM TO HIGH PLASTICITY, layers of different soil types. ORGANIC SILTS Intermixed Soil samples composed of pockets of PT <u>~</u> PEAT, HUMUS, SWAMP SOILS WITH HIGH ORGANIC CONTENT different soil type and layered or laminated structure is not evident. \boxtimes FILL SOILS FINE GRAINED SOILS (major portion passing No. 200 Sieve): COARSE GRAINED SOILS (major portion retained on No. 200 Include (1) inorganic or organic silts and clays, (2) gravelly, Sieve): Includes (1) clean gravels and sands, and (2) silty or clayey gravels and sands. Conditions rated according to standard sandy, or silty clays, and (3) clayey silts. Consistency is rated penetration test (SPT)* as performed in the field. according to shearing strength as indicated by hand penetrometer readings or by unconfined compression tests. **Descriptive Terms** Blows Per Foot* Undrained Very Loose 0 - 4 Shear Strength Loose 5 - 10**Descriptive Term** Ton/Sq. Ft. Medium Dense 11 - 30Dense 31 - 50 Very Soft Less than 0.13 Very Dense Soft 0.13 to 0.25 over 50 Firm 0.25 to 0.50 * 140 pound weight having a free fall of 30-inch Stiff 0.50 to 1.00 SOIL SAMPLERS Very Stiff 1.00 to 2.00 Hard 2.00 or higher SHELBY TUBE SAMPLER NOTE: Slickensided and fissured clays may have lower unconfined compressive strengths than shown above because of weakness or STANDARD PENETRATION TEST cracks in the soil. The consistency ratings of such soils are based on hand penetrometer readings. AUGER SAMPLING

TERMS CHARACTERIZING ROCK PROPERTIES

VERY SOFT OR PLASTIC SOFT	Can be remolded in hand: corresponds in consistency up to verv stiff in soils. Can be scratched with fingernail.
MODERATELY HARD	Can be scratched easily with knife; cannot be scratched with fingernail.
	Difficult to scratch with knife.
VERY HARD	Cannot be scratched with knife.
POORLY CEMENTED OR FRIABLE	Easily crumbled.
CEMENTED	Bounded Together by chemically precipitated materials.
UNWEATHERED	Rock in its natural state before being exposed to atmospheric agents.
SLIGHTLY WEATHERED	Noted predominantly by color change with no disintegrated zones.
WEATHERED	Complete color change with zones of slightly decomposed rock.
EXTREMELY WEATHERED	Complete color change with consistency, texture, and general appearance or soil.

GEOTECH ENGINEERING AND TESTING

	S	UMMAR	Y OF LAE	30RATO R	Y TEST	RESULTS		PROJE	CT NA	ME: G/S for 23rd S	treet Reconstruction f	rom Broadway Avenu	le J to Seawall	Boulevard	
								Galvest	on Cot	unty, Texas					
Ge	otechni	cal Consu	ultant's N¿	ame: Geot	ech Engi	neering and T	esting	CONSL	JLTAN ⁻	T PROJECT NUME	3ER: 19-018E				
		<i>I</i> S	AMPLE					ATTE LIN	RBER(AITS	(7)		SHEAR STRENGTH	H (TSF)		
		DEP1	ih (FT)												
Boring No.	NO	Top	Bottom	ר TYPE	SPT	WAIER CONTENT(%)	DENSITY (pct)	(%) (%)	ы (%) (9	 PERCENI PASSING SIEVE 200 (%) 	UNCONFINED COMPRESSION TEST	UU IESI (CONFINING PRESSURE. TSF)	TORVANE	POCKE I PENETRO METER	TYPE OF MATERIAL
8-1	-). 0.1	5											Asphast Pavement (6-inch in thickness)
	~~	2.0.5	0.8	3											Concrete Pavement (4-inch in thickness)
	(7)	3 0.85	~	2 AG		-	1								FILL: Silty Sand (SM)
	4	t	ž	4 SS	1(1(5								Silty Sand (SM)
	ц)	2	1	6 SS	14	1 22	2								Silty Sand (SM)
	ć) (, (8 SS	11	1	7								Silty Sand (SM)
	<u>·</u>	3 1	3 1(0 SS	16	5 2(9			1	8				Silty Sand (SM)
	ω	3 10	.1	2 SS	1,	7 2,	4								Silty Sand (SM)
	5	1 12	1.	4 SS	2() 2(9								Silty Sand (SM)
	10) 14	1	6 SS	14	1 21	8								Silty Sand (SM)
	UD =	UNDISTL	JRBED S.	AMPLE, E	XTRUDI	ED IN FIELD		LL = LI	JUID L	IMIT	NOTES:				
	SS = (SPLIT SP	OON SA	MPLE				PL = PI	ASTIC) LIMIT					
LEGEND:	AG = ,	AUGER (CUTTING	S				PI = PL	ASTIC	INDEX					
	SPT =	= STAND/	ARD PEN	JETRATIO	N TEST			UU = T	RIAXIA	L COMPRESSION					
															PLATE A-18

	S	SUMMAR	Y OF LAE	30RAT0F	γ TEST	RESULTS		PRC	NECT	NAME	: G/S for 23rd Stre	eet Reconstruction f	rom Broadway Avenu	le J to Seawall	Boulevard	
								Gal	eston (County	, Texas					
Ge	otechni	cal Consu	ultant's N¿	ame: Geol	ech Eng	ineering and	Testing	COP	ISULT/	ANT P	ROJECT NUMBE	.R: 19-018E				
		<i>I</i> S	AMPLE					AT	TERBE	ERG			SHEAR STRENGTH	H (TSF)		
		DEP1	ih (FT)		-											
Boring No.	NO	Top	Bottom	ר TYPE	SPT	WATEK CONTEN %)	T(DENSIT (pct)	(%) 7	Ы (%)	Ы (%)	PERCENT PASSING SIEVE 200 (%)	UNCONFINED COMPRESSION TEST	UU IESI (CONFINING PRESSURE. TSF)	TORVANE	POCKE I PENETRO METER	TYPE OF MATERIAL
3-2	<u> </u>		0.2	5												Asphast Pavement (3-inch in thickness)
	~~	2 0.2t	5 0.5	8												Concrete Pavement (4-inch in thickness)
	(7)	3 0.56	~	2 AG			8									FILL: Silty Sand (SM)
	4	t	ž	4 SS		7	19				12					Silty Sand (SM)
	ц)	7	1	6 SS	-	-	10									Silty Sand (SM)
	ć	, (, (8 SS		5	26									Silty Sand (SM)
	<u>·</u>	3 1	3 1(0 SS		7	25									Silty Sand (SM)
	ω	3 10	.1	2 SS	1	4	21				9					Silty Sand (SM)
	5	9 12	1.	4 SS		7	25									Silty Sand (SM)
	10) 14	1	6 SS		9	27									Silty Sand (SM)
	UD =	UNDISTL	JRBED S.	AMPLE, E	XTRUD	ED IN FIELD	-	LL =	LIQUII	d Limi	L L	NOTES:				
	SS = (SPLIT SP	OON SA	MPLE				ΡL	PLAS	TIC LII	ЛΤ					
LEGEND:	AG = ,	AUGER (CUTTING	S				= Id	PLAST	TIC IN	JEX					
	SPT =	= STAND/	ARD PEN	JETRATIC	IN TEST			ΠΠ	= TRIA	XIAL C	OMPRESSION					
									1							PLATE A-19

	0)	UMMAR	y of lae	30RAT0 F	Y TEST	RESULTS		PRI	DJECT	NAME	: G/S for 23rd Str	eet Reconstruction f	rom Broadway Avenu	le J to Seawall	Boulevard	
								Gal	veston	County	<i>I</i> , Texas					
Ge	itechni	cal Consu	ultant's Na	ame: Geot	ech Eng	ineering and	Testing	СО	NSULT	ANT P	ROJECT NUMBE	ER: 19-018E				
		<i>I</i> S	AMPLE					A ⁻	TTERB. LIMIT:	ERG S			SHEAR STRENGTH	H (TSF)		
		DEP1	TH (FT)													
Boring No.	NO	Top	Bottom	ן דאפ	SPT	WAIEF CONTEN %)	T(DENSIT (pct)	۲. ۲.	ы (%)	Ы (%)	PERCENT PASSING SIEVE 200 (%)	UNCONFINED COMPRESSION TEST	UU IESI (CONFINING PRESSURE. TSF)	TORVANE	POCKE I PENETRO METER	TYPE OF MATERIAL
3-3	_		0.2	5												Asphast Pavement (3-inch in thickness)
	. 7	2t 0.2t	5 0.5	8												Concrete Pavement (4-inch in thickness)
	(1)	30.56	~	2 AG			8									FILL: Silty Sand (SM)
	4	t	, Ž	4 SS	÷	-	18									Silty Sand (SM)
	(1)	2	t	6 SS		8	11				19					Silty Sand (SM)
	ę) (,	8 SS	1	2	23									Silty Sand (SM)
		3 1	3 1/	0 SS		6	28				16					Silty Sand (SM)
	3	3 10	1.	2 SS		6	26									Silty Sand (SM)
	5	9 12	2	4 SS	1	1	28									Silty Sand (SM)
	10) 14	1 1	6 SS		9	26									Silty Sand (SM)
	UD =	UNDISTL	JRBED S	AMPLE, E	XTRUD.	ED IN FIELD		- LL	= LIQUI	ID LIM.	IT	NOTES:				
	SS = ;	SPLIT SP	OON SA	MPLE				Ы	= PLAS	TIC LI	MIT					
LEGEND:	AG =	AUGER (CUTTING	S				Ы	E PLAS	TIC IN	DEX					
	SPT =	= STAND/	ARD PEN	JETRATIO	N TEST			nn	= TRIA	XIAL (COMPRESSION					
									1	1						PLATE A-20

	S	UMMAR	Y OF LAE	30RATOR	ίΥ TEST	RESULTS		PRC	JECTI	NAME :	: G/S for 23rd Str	eet Reconstruction f	rom Broadway Avenu	le J to Seawall	Boulevard	
								Galv	eston (County	, Texas					
Ge	otechni	cal Consu	ultant's N¿	ame: Geot	ech Engi	ineering and	Testing	CON	ISULT/	ANT PI	ROJECT NUMBE	.R: 19-018E				
		<i>I</i> S	AMPLE					AT	TERBE	ERG			SHEAR STRENGTH	H (TSF)		
		DEP1	ih (FT)													
Boring No.	NO	Top	Bottom	ר TYPE	SPT	WATEK CONTEN %)	T(DENSIT (pct)	(%) ۲	PL (%)	PI (%)	PERCENT PASSING SIEVE 200 (%)	UNCONFINED COMPRESSION TEST	UU IESI (CONFINING PRESSURE. TSF)	TORVANE	POCKE I PENETRO METER	TYPE OF MATERIAL
3-4	<u> </u>		0.2	5												Asphast Pavement (3-inch in thickness)
	~~	2t 0.2t	5 0.5	8												Concrete Pavement (4-inch in thickness)
	(7)	3 0.56	~	2 AG			20									FILL: Silty Sand (SM)
	4	t	ž	4 SS	16	9	23									Silty Sand (SM)
	ц)	2	1	6 SS	1.	7	24									Silty Sand (SM)
	ć) (5	8 SS	1	3	24				20					Silty Sand (SM)
	<u>·</u>	3 1	3 1(0 SS	~	8	22									Silty Sand (SM)
	ω	3 10	.1	2 SS	16	9	21									Silty Sand (SM)
	5	1 12	1.	4 SS	1	1	24									Silty Sand (SM)
	10) 14	1	6 SS		7	24				14					Silty Sand (SM)
	UD =	UNDISTL	JRBED S.	AMPLE, E	XTRUD	ED IN FIELD	-	LL =	LIQUI	IMI1 (L I	NOTES:				
	SS = (SPLIT SP	OON SA	MPLE				ΡL=	PLAS ⁻	TIC LIN	ЛΤ					
LEGEND:	AG = ,	AUGER (CUTTING	S				= H	PLAST	TIC INE	JEX					
	SPT =	= STAND/	ARD PEN	JETRATIO	N TEST			UU -	= TRIA)	XIAL C	OMPRESSION					
									1	1						PLATE A-21

	Ū	IMMARV	V OF LAB	ORATOR	V TFST F	PESIII TS		DRC) IFCT N	NAMF.	G/S for 23rd Stre	ant Reconstruction f	rom Broadway Aven	IP I to Seawall	Boulevard	
	1		 					Galv	eston C	County.	Texas		<i>(</i>			
Ge	otechnic	cal Consu	ultant's Na	ame: Geoto	sch Engir	ieering and ⁻	Testing	COL	ISULT	NT PF	COJECT NUMBE	R: 19-018E				
		/S	AMPLE					AT	TERBE	RG			SHEAR STRENGT	H (TSF)		
		DEP1	TH (FT)													
Boring No.	NO.	Top	Bottom	ТҮРЕ	SPT	WAIEK CONTEN1 %)	T(DENSIT (pct)	(%) 	PL (%)	ы Ы	PERCENT PASSING SIEVE 200 (%)	UNCONFINED COMPRESSION TEST	UU IESI (CONFINING PRESSURE. TSF)	TORVANE	POCKE I PENETRO METER	TYPE OF MATERIAL
3-5	-		J 0.2ξ	10				<u> </u>								Asphast Pavement (3-inch in thickness)
	2	0.25	5 0.58	6				<u> </u>								Concrete Pavement (4-inch in thickness)
	3	0.56	2	2 AG			23	<u> </u>			11					FILL: Silty Sand (SM)
	4		2 4	t SS	15		23									Silty Sand (SM)
	2	7	4 6	SS S	16		24									Silty Sand (SM)
	9		3 5	3 SS	12		24									Silty Sand (SM)
	7	3	3 10) SS	12		22				7				•	Silty Sand (SM)
	8	10	1 12	2 SS	10		21									Silty Sand (SM)
	6	12	2 14	t SS	12		24									Silty Sand (SM)
	10	14	1 16	SS (14		24									Silty Sand (SM)
	UD = (UNDISTL	JRBED S	AMPLE, E	XTRUDE	D IN FIELD		= 	: LIQUIE	LIMIJ (NOTES:				
	SS = S	SPLIT SP	00N SAI	MPLE				PL=	E PLAS	TIC LIN	٩١T					
LEGEND	AG = <i>i</i>	AUGER (CUTTING.	S				= H	PLAST	TIC IND	ΈX					
	SPT =	STAND/	ARD PEN	ETRATIO	N TEST			ΠΠ	= TRIA>	KIAL C	OMPRESSION					
																CC V 14L 10

PLATE A-22

	0)	SUMMAR	Y OF LAI	BORATOF	ξΥ TEST	RESULTS		PRC	JECT	NAME	: G/S for 23rd Stre	eet Reconstruction f	rom Broadway Avenu	le J to Seawall	Boulevard	
								Galv	eston (County	, Texas					
Ge	otechni	ical Consu	ultant's N	ame: Geo	tech Eng	lineering and	Testing	CON	ISULT/	ANT PI	ROJECT NUMBE	R: 19-018E				
		Ś	AMPLE					AT	TERBE	ERG			SHEAR STRENGTH	H (TSF)		
		DEP	TH (FT)													
Boring No.	NO	Top	Bottorr	л ТҮРЕ	SPT	WAIEK CONTEN' %)	T(DENSIT) (pct)	(%) (%)	Ы (%)	PI (%)	PERCENT PASSING SIEVE 200 (%)	UNCONFINED COMPRESSION TEST	UU IESI (CONFINING PRESSURE. TSF)	TORVANE	POCKE I PENETRO METER	TYPE OF MATERIAL
3-6	_	1	0.3	33												Asphast Pavement (4-inch in thickness)
		2 0.3;	3 0.8	33												Concrete Pavement (6-inch in thickness)
	(1)	3 0.85	~	2 AG			23									FILL: Silty Sand (SM)
	4	+	2	4 SS		4	24				8					Sitty Sand (SM)
	(1)	2	4	6 SS	-	6	25									Sitty Sand (SM)
	ę	, (9	8 SS		9	26								•	Silty Sand (SM)
		2	9 1	0 SS	1	6	29									Silty Sand (SM)
	3	3 1(1	2 SS	2	0	28				9				•	Silty Sand (SM)
	5	jl 6	2 1	4 SS		6	32								•	Silty Sand (SM)
	10	۲ ر	1	6 SS	1	0	32									Silty Sand (SM)
	UD =	UNDISTL	JRBED S	SAMPLE, E	EXTRUD	ED IN FIELD		LL =	LIQUII	IMI1 (L	VOTES:				
	SS = ;	SPLIT SF	OON SA	VMPLE				ΡL=	PLAS	TIC LIN	ЛΤ					
LEGEND	AG =	AUGER (CUTTING	S				= Id	PLAST	TIC INE	JEX					
	SPT =	= STAND,	ARD PEN	NETRATIC	IN TEST			ΠŪ	= TRIA)	XIAL C	OMPRESSION					
								-	ĺ	1						PLATE A-23

	0)	SUMMAR	Y OF LA	30RAT0F	Y TEST	RESULTS		PROJE	CT NA	AME: G/S	S for 23rd Stre	et Reconstruction fi	rom Broadway Avenu	le J to Seawall	Boulevard	
								Galves	ton Co.	unty, Te	xas					
Ge	otechni	ical Consu	ultant's N	ame: Geot	ech Engi	neering and T	esting	CONSI	JLTAN	IT PROJ	ECT NUMBEF	ל: 19-018E				
		Ś	AMPLE					ATTE LII	RBER	Q			SHEAR STRENGTH	H (TSF)		
		DEP	TH (FT)							i						
Boring No.	NO	Top	Bottorr	ר TYPE	SPT	WAIEK CONTENT %)	DENSITY (pct)	-) (%)	(; %) (;	PI PI %) SIEV	ERCENT ASSING VE 200 (%)	UNCONFINED COMPRESSION TEST	UU TEST (CONFINING PRESSURE. TSF)	TORVANE	PUCKE I PENETRO METER	TYPE OF MATERIAL
3-7		1	0 0.4	9												Asphast Pavement (5.5-inch in thickness)
		2 0.4	6 1.2	-												Concrete Pavement (9-inch in thickness)
		3 1.2		2 AG		-	6									FILL: Silty Sand (SM)
	7	ţ	2	4 SS	1	2 2	0								•	Silty Sand (SM)
	,	2	4	6 SS	1() 2	2				10					Silty Sand (SM)
	ý	,	9	8 SS	1,	2 2	3									Silty Sand (SM)
		2	8 1	0 SS	3	3 2	1									Silty Sand (SM)
		3 1(J 1.	2 SS		7 2	1								•	Silty Sand (SM)
	5	9 12	2 1	4 SS	1	1 2	3				13					Silty Sand (SM)
	1(۲ ر	4 1	6 SS	1() 2	1									Silty Sand (SM)
	UD =	UNDISTL	JRBED S	AMPLE, E	XTRUD	ED IN FIELD		LL = LI	ouid I	LIMIT	<u>Z</u>	IOTES:				
	SS =	SPLIT SF	POON SA	MPLE				PL = P	LASTIC	C LIMIT						
LEGEND	AG =	AUGER (CUTTING	S				PI = PL	-ASTIC	C INDEX						
	SPT =	= STAND,	ARD PEN	JETRATIC	N TEST			UU = T	'RIAXI	AL COMF	PRESSION					
									1							PLATE A-24

	S	SUMMAR	Y OF LA	30RAT0F	ίΥ TEST	RESULTS		PR(JJECT	NAME	: G/S for 23rd Str	eet Reconstruction f	rom Broadway Avenu	le J to Seawall	Boulevard	
								Gal	/eston	County	r, Texas					
Ge	itechni	cal Const	ultant's N	ame: Geot	ech Eng	ineering and	Testing	CO	VSULT	ANT P	ROJECT NUMBE	.R: 19-018E				
		<i>I</i> S	AMPLE					A ⁻	TERB. LIMIT:	ERG			SHEAR STRENGTH	H (TSF)		
		DEPI	TH (FT)													
Boring No.	NO	Top	Bottorr	ר TYPE	SPT	WAIEF CONTEN %)	T(DENSIT (pct)	/%) 	Ы (%)	Ы (%)	PERCENT PASSING SIEVE 200 (%)	UNCONFINED COMPRESSION TEST	UU IESI (CONFINING PRESSURE. TSF)	TORVANE	POCKE I PENETRO METER	TYPE OF MATERIAL
3-8	<i>—</i>		0 0.4	2												Asphast Pavement (5-inch in thickness)
	~~	2 0.42	2 0.7	2												Concrete Pavement (4-inch in thickness)
	(*)	3 0.7E	10	2 AG			24									FILL: Silty Sand (SM)
	4	t	2	4 SS	1	4	21									Silty Sand (SM)
	ц)		4	6 SS	1(0	22									Silty Sand (SM)
	ć	, (9	8 SS	1	1	23				10					Silty Sand (SM)
	<u>·</u>	3	8 1	0 SS		6	21									Silty Sand (SM)
	ω	3 1(J 1.	2 SS	-	1	20									Silty Sand (SM)
	5	9 12	2 1	4 SS		7	22									Silty Sand (SM)
	10	1 17	4 1	6 SS		9	20				12					Silty Sand (SM)
	UD =	UNDISTL	JRBED S	AMPLE, E	XTRUD.	ED IN FIELD		LL :	ء LIQUI	D LIM	T	NOTES:				
	SS = (SPLIT SF	POON SA	MPLE				Ы	= PLAS	TIC LI	MIT					
LEGEND	AG = ,	AUGER (CUTTING	S				Ы	PLAS	FIC IN	DEX					
	SPT =	= STAND/	ARD PEN	JETRATIC	N TEST			NU	= TRIA	XIAL (COMPRESSION					
		ĺ	ĺ		Í											PLATE A-25

	0)	SUMMAR	y of lae	30RATOF	Y TEST	RESULTS		PROJE	CT NA	ME: G/S for 23rd S	treet Reconstruction f	from Broadway Aveni	ue J to Seawall	Boulevard	
								Galvest	on Cot	unty, Texas					
Ge	itechni	cal Consu	ultant's Na	ame: Geot	ech Engi	neering and T	esting	CONSL	JLTAN	T PROJECT NUMB	ER: 19-018E				
		<i>I</i> S	AMPLE					ATTE	RBER(()		SHEAR STRENGT	H (TSF)		
		DEP1	TH (FT)												
Boring No.	NO	Top	Bottom	ר TYPE	SPT	WAIER CONTENT(%)	DENSITY (pct)	(%)	کا %) (%	HERCENI PASSING SIEVE 200 (%)	UNCONFINED COMPRESSION TEST	UU IESI (CONFINING PRESSURE. TSF)	TORVANE	POCKE I PENETRO METER	TYPE OF MATERIAL
3-9	_		0.4	9											Asphast Pavement (5.5-inch in thickness)
		2 0.46	5 0.7	6											Concrete Pavement (4-inch in thickness)
	(1)	3 0.75	6	2 AG		1	4								FILL: Silty Sand (SM)
	4	t	, Ž	4 SS	14	1	5								Silty Sand (SM)
	(1)	7	t	6 SS	16	5	4								Silty Sand (SM)
	ę	, (,	8 SS	24	1	7								Silty Sand (SM)
		3 1	3 1/	0 SS	25	1.	3			34					Silty Sand (SM)
	3	3 10	1.	2 SS	2{	3 1!	5								Silty Sand (SM)
	5	9 12	2	4 SS	22	2.	4								Silty Sand (SM)
	10) 14	1 1	6 SS	1,	7 2.	2								Silty Sand (SM)
	UD =	UNDISTL	JRBED S	AMPLE, E	XTRUDI	ED IN FIELD		LL = LI	1 dinc	IMIT	NOTES:				
	SS = ;	SPLIT SP	OON SA	MPLE				PL = Pl	ASTIC) LIMIT					
LEGEND:	AG =	AUGER (CUTTING	S				PI = PL	ASTIC	INDEX					
	SPT =	= STAND/	ARD PEN	JETRATIO	N TEST			UU = T.	RIAXI⊭	L COMPRESSION					
									ĺ						PLATE A-26

	0)	UMMAR	Y OF LAB	ORATOF	Y TEST I	RESULTS		PROJ	ECT N	AME: -	G/S for 23rd Stre	et Reconstruction t	rom Broadway Avenu	ie J to Seawall	Boulevard	
								Galve	ston Co	ounty,	Texas					
Ge	otechni	cal Consu	iltant's Né	ame: Geol	ech Engi	neering and Tr	esting	CONS	ULTAI	NT PR	OJECT NUMBEF	R: 19-018E				
		S₽	MPLE					ATTE LI	ERBEF MITS	SG			SHEAR STRENGTH	H (TSF)		
		DEPT	TH (FT)								<u> </u>					
BORING NO.	NO	Top	Bottom	ТҮРЕ	SPT	WATER CONTENT(%)	DRY DENSITY (pct)	(%)	(%) (%)	PI (%)	PERCENT PASSING SIEVE 200 (%)	UNCONFINED COMPRESSION TEST	UU TEST (CONFINING PRESSURE. TSF)	TORVANE	POCKET PENETRO METER	TYPE OF MATERIAL
B-10		0	0.3	3												Asphast Pavement (4-inch in thickness)
		.0.33	~	2 AG		16	5									FILL: Silty Sand (SM)
		~	7	t SS	12	24	5				10					Silty Sand (SM)
	4	1	1	SS SS	15	27	7									Silty Sand (SM)
	ς	9 6	3	3 SS	17	45	2									Silty Sand (SM)
	ę	3	3 1() SS	14	2(3									Silty Sand (SM)
		10	12	2 SS	18	25	2				12					Silty Sand (SM)
	3	12	14	t SS	20	25	5								•	Silty Sand (SM)
	5	14	16	SS S	20	25	2									Silty Sand (SM)
	UD =	UNDISTU	IRBED S,	AMPLE, E	XTRUDE	D IN FIELD		LL = L	IQUID	LIMIT	2	JOTES:				
	SS = 3	SPLIT SP	OON SA	MPLE				PL = F	LAST	IC LIM	11					
LEGEND	AG =	AUGER C	SUTTING	S				PI = P	LASTI	C INDI	EX					
	SPT =	: STAND/	ARD PEN	ETRATIC	N TEST			<u> </u>	FRIAX	IAL CC	OMPRESSION					
																PLATE A-27

	SL	JMMARY	/ OF LAE	30RATOF	Y TEST	RESULTS		PROJ	ECT N	IAME:	G/S for 23rd Stre	eet Reconstruction f	from Broadway Avenu	le J to Seawall	Boulevard	
								Galve	ston C	ounty,	Техаз					
Ge	otechnica	al Consu	Itant's Né	ame: Geo	tech Engi	neering and	Testing	CONS	SULTA	NT PR	OJECT NUMBE	R: 19-018E				
		SA	MPLE					ATT L	ERBE	RG			SHEAR STRENGTH	H (TSF)		
		DEPT	TH (FT)								L					
BORING NO.	NO.	Top	Bottom	TYPE	SPT	WATER CONTEN1 %)	r(DENSITY (pct)	(%) TT	PL (%)	II (%)	PERCENT PASSING SIEVE 200 (%)	UNCONFINED COMPRESSION TEST	UU TEST (CONFINING PRESSURE. TSF)	TORVANE	POCKET PENETRO METER	TYPE OF MATERIAL
B-11	-	0	0.3	3												Asphast Pavement (4-inch in thickness)
	2	0.33		2 AG			16									FILL: Sitty Sand (SM)
	3	2	7 i	4 SS	12		24									Silty Sand (SM)
	4	4		sS s	16		27				13					Silty Sand (SM)
	5	9	3	3 SS	13	:	30									Silty Sand (SM)
	9	8	1() SS	13		25									Silty Sand (SM)
	7	10	1	2 SS	18	~	31				9					Silty Sand (SM)
	8	12	1	4 SS	17		24									Silty Sand (SM)
	6	14	16	SS S	22		25									Silty Sand (SM)
	U = U	INDISTU	IRBED S.	AMPLE, I	XTRUDE	ED IN FIELD		=	IIUDI) LIMIT	4	VOTES:				
	SS = S	PLIT SP	OON SA	MPLE				PL =	PLAST	IC LIN	11T					
LEGEND	AG = A	NUGER C	DUTTING	Š				PI = F	LASTI	IC IND	EX					
	SPT = .	STANDA	ARD PEN	IETRATIC	IN TEST			= UU	TRIAX	CIAL CO	OMPRESSION					
																PLATE A-28

	0)	UMMAR	Y OF LAE	30RAT0F	Y TEST	RESULTS		PROJE	CT NP	AME: G/	/S for 23rd Stre	set Reconstruction f	rom Broadway Avenu	le J to Seawall	Boulevard	
								Galves	ton Co	unty, T∈	exas					
Ge	otechni	cal Consu	ultant's N¿	ame: Geot	ech Engi	neering and T	esting	CONSI	JLTAN	IT PRO.	JECT NUMBE	R: 19-018E				
		<i>I</i> S	AMPLE					ATTE LII	RBER	Q			SHEAR STRENGTH	H (TSF)		
		DEP1	ih (FT)													
Boring No.	NO.	Top	Bottom	ר TYPE	SPT	WAIEK CONTENT(%)	DENSITY (pct)) (%)	(; %) %)	PI /	PERCENT PASSING EVE 200 (%)	UNCONFINED COMPRESSION TEST	UU IESI (CONFINING PRESSURE. TSF)	TORVANE	POCKE I PENETRO METER	TYPE OF MATERIAL
3-12	,)	0.2	5												Asphast Pavement (3-inch in thickness)
	. 1	2t 0.2t	5 0.7	5												Concrete Pavement (5.5-inch in thickness)
		3 0.71		2 AG		2	-									FILL: Silty Sand (SM)
	7	t	ž į	4 SS	12	2	1									Silty Sand (SM)
	ری	2	1	6 SS	12	2	1									Silty Sand (SM)
	ć) (5	8 SS	15	5 21	0				11					Silty Sand (SM)
		3 1	3 1(0 SS	2() 2.	3									Silty Sand (SM)
	3	3 10	.1	2 SS	15) 2.	2									Silty Sand (SM)
	5	1 12	2	4 SS	1;	7 2!	5									Silty Sand (SM)
	10) 14	1	6 SS	21	1 2.	4				9					Silty Sand (SM)
	UD =	UNDISTL	JRBED S.	AMPLE, E	XTRUDI	ED IN FIELD		LL = Ll	ouid I	LIMIT	2	NOTES:				
	SS = S	SPLIT SP	OON SA	MPLE				PL = Pl	LASTI(C LIMIT						
LEGEND	AG =	AUGER (CUTTING	S				PI = PL	.ASTIC	C INDEX	×					
	SPT =	= STAND/	ARD PEN	JETRATIC	N TEST			UU = T	RIAXI <i>i</i>	AL CON	APRESSION					
									ĺ							PLATE A-29

	0)	UMMAR	Y OF LAE	30RAT0F	γ TEST	RESULTS		PROJI	ECT N	AME: (3/S for 23rd Stre	set Reconstruction f	rom Broadway Avenu	le J to Seawall	Boulevard	
								Galves	ston Cc	Junty,	Texas					
Ge	otechni	cal Consu	iltant's N¿	ame: Geol	ech Engi	ineering and ⁻	Testing	CONS	ULTAN	VT PR(OJECT NUMBE	R: 19-018E				
		<i>I</i> S	MPLE					ATTE	ERBER	SG			SHEAR STRENGTH	H (TSF)		
		DEP1	rh (FT)													
Boring No.	NO	Top	Bottom	ר TYPE	SPT	WAIER CONTENI %)	(DENSITY (pct)	(%)	(%) Ы	PI %) S	PERCENT PASSING JEVE 200 (%)	UNCONFINED COMPRESSION TEST	UU IEST (CONFINING PRESSURE. TSF)	TORVANE	POCKE I PENETRO METER	TYPE OF MATERIAL
8-13	_		0.2	5												Asphast Pavement (3-inch in thickness)
	. 7	2t 0.2t	0.6.	3												Concrete Pavement (4.5-inch in thickness)
		3 0.65	~	2 AG			22									FILL: Silty Sand (SM)
	4	t	, į	4 SS	<u>, </u>	1	24									Silty Sand (SM)
	(1)	2	+	6 SS	1	9	22									Silty Sand (SM)
	έ) ()	8 SS	15	6	23									Silty Sand (SM)
		3 1	3 1(0 SS	1	8	22									Silty Sand (SM)
	3	3 10	.1	2 SS	2!	5	21									Silty Sand (SM)
	5	1 12	1	4 SS	2,	2	22									Silty Sand (SM)
	10) 14	1 1	6 SS	1	8	22									Silty Sand (SM)
	UD =	UNDISTL	JRBED S.	AMPLE, E	XTRUDI	ED IN FIELD		TL = L	IOUID	LIMIT	4	NOTES:				
	SS = 5	SPLIT SP	OON SA	MPLE				PL = P	LASTI	C LIMI	L					
LEGEND	AG =	AUGER (CUTTING	S				PI = P	LASTIC	CINDE	X					
	SPT =	= STAND/	ARD PEN	JETRATIC	IN TEST			UU = 1	[RIAXI.	AL CC	MPRESSION					
									ĺ	1						PLATE A-30

APPENDIX B

Project Alignments Pictures

PROJECT PICTURES

Project No. 19-018E



P-1 (A Picture of Coring Operation along 23rd Street)



P-2 (A View of Project Alignment along 23rd Street)

PROJECT PICTURES

Project No. 19-018E



P-3 (A Picture of Drilling Operation along 23rd Street)



P-4 (A Picture of Drilling Operation along Avenue N)

APPENDIX C

OSHA Soil Classification and Trench Safety Recommendations



ACCREDITED CERTIFICATE #0075-01 #0075-02

Geotechnical, Environmental, Construction Materials, and Forensic Engineering

OSHA SOIL CLASSIFICATION AND TRENCH SAFETY RECOMMENDATIONS

General

Occupational Safety and Health Administration (OSHA) requires a trench protective system for trenches deeper than five-ft. Trenches that are deeper than five-ft, should be shored, sheeted, braced or laid back to a stable slope, or some other appropriate means of protection should be provided where workers might be exposed to moving ground or caving. OSHA developed a soil classification system to be used as a guideline in determining protective requirements for trench excavations.

OSHA classification system categorizes the soil and rock in four types based on shear strength and stability. These classifications are summarized in the following report sections.

Stable Rock

means natural solid mineral matter that can be excavated with vertical sides and remain intact while exposed.

Type A Soil

means cohesive soils with an unconfined compressive strength of 1.5-ton per square foot (tsf) or greater. Examples of cohesive soils are: clay, silty clay, sandy clay, clay loam, silty clay loam, sandy clay loam, caliche and hardpan. No soil is Type A if:

- The soil is fissured; or
- The soil is subject to vibration from heavy traffic, pile driving or similar effects; or
- The soil has been previously disturbed; or
- The soil is part of a slope, layered system where the layers dip into the excavation on a slope of 4(h): 1(v) or greater; or
- The material is subject to other factors that would require it to be classified as a less stable material.

Type B Soil

- Cohesive soil with an unconfined compressive strength greater than 0.5 tsf but less than 1.5 tsf; or
- Granular cohesionless soils including: angular gravel, silt, silt loam, sandy loam, and in some case, silty clay loam and sandy clay loam; or
- Previously disturbed soils except those which would otherwise be classified as Type C soil; or
- Soil that meets the unconfined compressive strength or cementation requirements for Type A, but is fissured or subject to vibration; or

- Dry rock that is not stable; or
- Material that is part of a sloped, layered system where the layers dip into the excavation on a slope less steep than 4(h): 1(v), but only if the material would otherwise be classified as Type B.

Type C Soil

- Cohesive soil with an unconfined compressive strength of 0.5 tsf or less; or
- o Granular soils including gravel, sand, and loamy sand; or
- Submerged soil or soil from which water is freely seeping; or
- Submerged rock that is not stable; or
- Materials in a sloped, layered system where the layers dip into the excavation on a slope 4 (h) : 1(v) or steeper.

Under the assumption that appropriate groundwater control measures are carried out, and the groundwater table, if present, is lowered and maintained at least 3-ft below the excavation depths, the stable cohesive soils (CL) and (CH), with unconfined compressive strength greater than 0.5 tsf, are classified as OSHA soil Type "B". The granular soils, which are less stable, are classified as OSHA soil Type "C".

Based on our geotechnical exploration and laboratory test results, details of soil classifications at the boring locations are summarized below:

Boring No.	Depth Range ⁽¹⁾ , ft	Soil Type	OSHA Soil Classification
B-1 through B-13	0.3 – 2	Fill: Silty Sand (SM)	С
	2 - 16	Silty Sand (SM)	С

Note: 1. Refer to each boring log of soils stratigraphy

Stockpiling of excavated materials may not be allowed near the banks of excavated areas. Generally, a distance of one-half the excavation depth on both sides of the trench should be kept clear of any excavated material.

Underground utility trenches should be provided with proper trench support system. The trench should be provided with a temporary shoring system on excavations deeper than five-ft. The trenches can be made using shored, sheeted and braced, laid back stable slope or other means of appropriate protection system should be provided where workers are exposed to moving ground or caving. The slopes may be constructed in accordance with Table B-1 and shoring may be constructed in accordance with Table B-1 and shoring may be constructed in accordance with Table C-1.2 and Table C-1.3 of 29 CFR Part 1926 of OSHA.

In the event that trench sheeting is used, the sheeting can be constructed in the form of cantilever sheeting or with bracing. Lateral earth pressures for each method used are summarized on Plate D-1. The trenching and shoring operations should follow OSHA Standards. We recommend that a geotechnical engineer monitor all phases of trench excavation and bracing to assure trench safety.

Timber shoring as outlined in 29 CFR Part 1926 of OSHA recommendation may be used in the construction of trench supporting system.

For trench excavation, it is necessary to maintain the stability of the sides and base and not to disturb the soil below the excavation grade. In braced cuts, if the sheeting is terminated at the base of the cut, the bottom of the excavation can become unstable under certain conditions. The stability of the trench bottom is governed by the shear strength of the soils and the differential hydrostatic head. For cuts in cohesive soils (such as fat clay and lean clay), stability of the bottom can be evaluated in accordance with the procedure outlined on Plate C-2. However, due to presence of cohesionless subsoils (i.e., silty sand) encountered at the site, dewatering may be required to prevent bottom blowup if the groundwater is encountered during construction. Design soil parameters presented on Plates C-3 through C-3.3 can be used for design.

Groundwater Control

We understand that the depths of underground utilities will be less than 15-ft deep. Our short-term field exploration indicates that groundwater was encountered at depths ranging from 6- to 12-ft during drilling and 0.5-hour of after drilling. Therefore, groundwater dewatering may be required. Fluctuations in groundwater can occur as a function of seasonal moisture variation. Groundwater control recommendations are presented in the following report sections.

It is our opinion that groundwater should be lowered to a depth of at least three-ft below the deepest excavation grade in order to provide dry working conditions and firm bedding. Any minor water inflow in cohesive soil layers can probably be removed using a sump-pump or trench sump-pump. Wellpoint system can be used in the area where cohesionless (i.e. silty sand) soils are present. **Due to the presence of silty sand and the hydrostatic pressures, blow up may occur if an effective dewatering system is not in place at the time of construction.**

Piezometers should be installed near the excavation area to further evaluate groundwater levels in the area prior to construction. The piezometers should be left in place during construction to monitor groundwater levels and effectiveness of the dewatering system.

Design of a wellpoint system should consider the amount of groundwater to be lowered and the permeability of the affected soils. The selection and proper implementation of an effective groundwater control system is the responsibility of the contractor. The design of groundwater and surface water should be in accordance with the City of Galveston Specifications, Section 01563 – Control of Groundwater and Surface Water.

The results of our field exploration and laboratory testing indicate that unsatisfactory soils for excavation, such as silty sand soils exist in the borings. A summary of the unsatisfactory soils locations and depths are as follows:

Boring(s)

Depth, ft.

B-1 through B-13

0.3 to 16

If these conditions are encountered during the time of construction, suitable groundwater control measures should be implemented in accordance with The City of Galveston Specifications, Section 01563 – Control of Groundwater and Surface Water. Furthermore, the contractor may have to over excavate an additional 6 inches and remove unstable or unsuitable materials with approval by geotechnical engineer, then place an equal depth of cement stabilized sand.

Due to potential variability of the on-site soils, unstable trench conditions may still exist in the areas where we did not conduct borings. If these conditions are encountered during the time of construction, a stable trench should be provided to allow proper bedding and installation.

Our recommendation on trench safety at the project site does not address the effects of excavations on existing buildings/facilities at the project site. This study was outside the scope of our work.

Project No. 19-018E

LATERAL EARTH PRESSURE DIAGRAM



CUT IN COHESIVE SOIL, DEPTH OF COHESIVE SOIL UNLIMITED (T > 0.7 Bd) L= LENGTH OF CUT



If sheeting terminates at base of cut:

Safety Factor,
$$F_s = \frac{N_c c}{\gamma H + q}$$

 N_c = Bearing capacity factor, which depends on dimensions of the excavation: B_d , L and H (use N_c from graph below)

c = Undrained shear strength of clay in failure zone beneath and surrounding base of cut

 γ = Wet unit weight of soil

q =Surcharge (assumed q = 250psf)

If safety factor is less than 1.5, sheeting or soldier piles must be carried below the base of cut to insure stability – (see note)

$$H_1 = Buried length = \frac{B_d}{2} \ge 5$$
 feet

Note: If soldier piles are used, the center to center spacing should not exceed 3 times the width or diameter of soldier pile.

Force on buried length, P_H:





GEOTECH ENGINEERING AND TESTING

SOIL DESIGN PARAMETERS

(BASED ON BORINGS B-1)

Soil Type	Depth Range, ft.	γ, pcf	φ
FILL: SILTY SAND (SM)	0-2	125	28
SILTY SAND (SM)	2 - 4	125	28
SILTY SAND (SM)	4 – 16	125	30

(BASED ON BORING B-2)

Soil Type	Depth Range, ft.	γ, pcf	φ
FILL: SILTY SAND (SM)	0 - 2	125	28
SILTY SAND (SM)	2 - 4	125	28
SILTY SAND (SM)	4 - 6	125	30
SILTY SAND (SM)	6-10	125	28
SILTY SAND (SM)	10 - 12	125	30
SILTY SAND (SM)	12 – 16	125	28

(BASED ON BORING B-3)

Soil Type	Depth Range, ft.	γ, pcf	φ
FILL: SILTY SAND (SM)	0-2	125	28
SILTY SAND (SM)	2 - 4	125	30
SILTY SAND (SM)	4 – 6	125	28
SILTY SAND (SM)	6 – 8	125	30
SILTY SAND (SM)	8-12	125	28
SILTY SAND (SM)	12 - 14	125	30
SILTY SAND (SM)	14 – 16	125	28

SOIL DESIGN PARAMETERS

(BASED ON BORINGS B-4)

Soil Type	Depth Range, ft.	γ, pcf	φ
FILL: SILTY SAND (SM)	0-2	125	28
SILTY SAND (SM)	2-8	125	30
SILTY SAND (SM)	8-10	125	28
SILTY SAND (SM)	10 - 14	125	30
SILTY SAND (SM)	14 – 16	125	28

(BASED ON BORING B-5)

Soil Type	Depth Range, ft.	γ, pcf	φ
FILL: SILTY SAND (SM)	0 – 2	125	28
SILTY SAND (SM)	2 - 10	125	30
SILTY SAND (SM)	10 - 12	125	28
SILTY SAND (SM)	12 – 16	125	30

(BASED ON BORING B-6)

Soil Type	Depth Range, ft.	γ, pcf	φ
FILL: SILTY SAND (SM)	0-2	125	28
SILTY SAND (SM)	2-6	125	30
SILTY SAND (SM)	6 – 8	125	28
SILTY SAND (SM)	8-12	125	30
SILTY SAND (SM)	12 – 16	125	28

SOIL DESIGN PARAMETERS

(BASED	ON	BOR	INGS	B-7)
--------	----	-----	------	--------------

Soil Type	Depth Range, ft.	γ, pcf	φ
FILL: SILTY SAND (SM)	0 – 2	125	28
SILTY SAND (SM)	2 - 4	125	30
SILTY SAND (SM)	4 - 6	125	28
SILTY SAND (SM)	6 – 8	125	30
SILTY SAND (SM)	8-12	125	28
SILTY SAND (SM)	12 - 14	125	30
SILTY SAND (SM)	14 – 16	125	28

(BASED ON BORINGS B-8)

Soil Type	Depth Range, ft.	γ, pcf	φ
FILL: SILTY SAND (SM)	0-2	125	28
SILTY SAND (SM)	2 - 4	125	30
SILTY SAND (SM)	4 - 6	125	28
SILTY SAND (SM)	6 – 8	125	30
SILTY SAND (SM)	8-10	125	28
SILTY SAND (SM)	10 - 12	125	30
SILTY SAND (SM)	12 – 16	125	28

(BASED ON BORING B-9)

Soil Type	Depth Range, ft.	γ, pcf	φ
FILL: SILTY SAND (SM)	0-2	125	28
SILTY SAND (SM)	2-16	125	30

(BASED ON BORING B-10)

Soil Type	Depth Range, ft.	γ, pcf	φ
FILL: SILTY SAND (SM)	0-2	125	28
SILTY SAND (SM)	2-16	125	30
SOIL DESIGN PARAMETERS

(BASED ON BORING B-11)

Soil Type	Depth Range, ft.	γ, pcf	φ
FILL: SILTY SAND (SM)	0 - 2	125	28
SILTY SAND (SM)	2 – 16	125	30

(BASED ON BORING B-12)

Soil Type	Depth Range, ft.	γ, pcf	φ
FILL: SILTY SAND (SM)	0 – 2	125	28
SILTY SAND (SM)	2-16	125	30

(BASED ON BORINGS B-13)

Soil Type	Depth Range, ft.	γ, pcf	φ
FILL: SILTY SAND (SM)	0-2	125	28
SILTY SAND (SM)	2-16	125	30